

NATIONAL SENIOR CERTIFICATE

GRADE 12

ENGLISH SECOND ADDITIONAL LANGUAGE P2

FEBRUARY/MARCH 2014

MEMORANDUM

MARKS: 80

This memorandum consists of 9 pages.

INSTRUCTIONS AND INFORMATION

Use this memorandum together with the attached English Second Additional Language assessment rubrics for SECTIONS A, B and C.

SECTION A: ESSAY

QUESTION 1

Instructions to Markers:

- Candidates are required to write on ONE topic only.
- The ideas listed below the topics are only some ways in which the topics could be interpreted. Full credit must be given for the candidate's own interpretation.
- Marking must be objective. Consider the background of the candidates and give credit for relevant ideas, even if they are not listed below the topics.
- Do not penalise candidates if an essay type other than that given (Narrative or Descriptive) is written.
- Use the 40-mark assessment rubric on page 7 to mark the essays.
- 1.1 The kind of music that rocks my world
 - Descriptive essay.
 - Candidates may mention the following, among others:
 - the type of music they enjoy
 - why they enjoy that type of music
 - o the names of artists who play/sing

[40]

1.2 Friendship has taught me so much.

Write a story that contains these words.

- Narrative essay.
- Candidates may mention the following, **among others**:
 - the kinds of experiences they had with the friend
 - what they learned
 - o the value of friendship.

[40]

- 1.3 Now that's a true leader!
 - Descriptive essay.
 - Candidates may mention the following, **among others**:
 - what the characteristics of a true leader are
 - what a true leader needs to be responsible for
 - o how a true leader adds value.

[40]

- 1.4 I will never forget that experience at my school.
 - Narrative essay.
 - Candidates may mention the following, among others:
 - what the experience was
 - where, when and why it happened
 - o why the experience was so memorable.

[40]

- 1.5 Interpretation of pictures.
 - Candidates should provide a suitable title
 - Candidates may interpret the pictures in any way
 - The interpretation should be linked directly to the pictures.
 - 1.5.1 Candidates may mention the following, **among others**:
 - the importance of family
 - the responsibilities of parents
 - spending quality time together
 - creating happy memories.
 - 1.5.2 Candidates may mention the following, **among others**:
 - giving to the poor
 - unemployment/poverty/homelessness
 - compassion
 - loneliness/disconnectedness.
 - 1.5.3 Candidates may mention the following, **among others**:
 - old mode of transport
 - cost effective mode of transport
 - o life in rural areas
 - the abuse of animals.
 - 1.5.4 Candidates may mention the following, **among others**:
 - appreciating the beauty of nature
 - o tourism
 - looking into the future
 - peace and tranquility.

[40]

TOTAL SECTION A: 40

SECTION B: LONGER TRANSACTIONAL TEXT

QUESTION 2

Instructions to Markers:

- Candidates are required to answer ONE question.
- The ideas listed below the topics are only some ways in which the topics could be interpreted. Full credit must be given for the candidate's own interpretation.
- Marking must be objective. Consider the background of the candidates and give credit for relevant ideas even if they are not listed below the topics.
- Use the 20-mark assessment rubric on page 8 to mark the responses in this section.

2.1 **INFORMAL LETTER**

- The letter should be addressed to a friend.
- The letter should be written in paragraphs.
- The tone and register of the letter should be informal.
- The following information may be included in the letter, **among others:**
 - what the award was given for
 - the feelings evoked by receiving the award.
- The following aspects of format should be included:
 - o address of sender
 - o date
 - greeting/salutation
 - o suitable ending.

[20]

2.2 **DIALOGUE**

- The following aspects of **format** should be included:
 - o name of each speaker
 - o colon after name of each speaker.
- The candidates should include, among others:
 - o an apology and explanation for their actions
 - o the response of the friend.

[20]

2.3 REPORT

- The candidates should indicate:
 - the addressee
 - the date of the report
 - the task they were given
 - o their findings
 - their recommendations.
- The candidate should sign the report.

[20]

2.4 **FORMAL LETTER**

- The letter should be addressed to the editor.
- The tone and register of the letter should be formal.
- The letter should be written in paragraphs.
- The following aspects of format should be included:
 - o address of sender
 - o address of recipient
 - o date
 - greeting/salutation
 - o subject line
 - suitable ending
 - signature and printed name of sender.
- Candidates may mention, among others:
 - what the learners do outside the supermarket
 - o what the cause for concern is
 - what needs to be done about the situation.

[20]

TOTAL SECTION B: 20

SECTION C: SHORTER TEXT – TRANSACTIONAL/REFERENTIAL/INFORMATIONAL QUESTION 3

Instructions to Markers:

- Candidates are required to answer ONE question.
- The ideas listed below the topics are only some ways in which the topics could be interpreted. Full credit must be given for the candidate's own interpretation.
- Marking must be objective. Consider the background of the candidates and give credit for relevant ideas even if they are not listed below the topics.
- Use the 20-mark assessment rubric on page 9 to mark the responses in this section.

3.1 **INVITATION**

- The following may be included, among others:
 - o the names of persons to whom the invitation is addressed
 - the purpose of the invitation
 - o the venue/place where the function will be held
 - the date and time of the function
 - the name of the sender.

[20]

3.2 **POSTCARD**

- The names and address of the parents should be provided.
- The candidates may mention the following, **among others**:
 - details of the place
 - good or bad experiences
 - thoughts about the parents.

NOTE: Do not award marks for drawings or illustrations.

[20]

3.3 **INSTRUCTIONS**

- Candidates should write in point form.
- The following may be included, among others:
 - how often to check on the brother
 - which and how much medication to give
 - when to give the medication
 - what to give the brother to eat and drink
 - observations of hygienic standards
 - what to be careful of
 - what to do if an emergency arises.

[20]

TOTAL SECTION C: 20 GRAND TOTAL: 80

SECTION A: RUBRIC FOR ASSESSING AN ESSAY - SECOND ADDITIONAL LANGUAGE (40)

	Code 7: Outstanding 80–100% 22½–28	Code 6: Meritorious 70–79% 20–22	Code 5: Substantial 60–69% 17–19½	Code 4: Adequate 50–59% 14–16½	Code 3: Moderate 40–49% 11½–13½	Code 2: Elementary 30–39% 8½–11	Code 1: Not achieved 0-29% 0-8
CONTENT & PLANNING (28 MARKS)	-Content shows impressive understanding of topicIdeas thought-provoking, maturePlanning &/or drafting has produced a presentable essay.	-Content shows thorough interpretation of topic. -Ideas imaginative, interesting. - Planning &/or drafting has produced a fairly presentable essay.	-Content shows a sound interpretation of the topicIdeas interesting, convincing Planning &/or drafting has produced a reasonably presentable essay.	-Content shows an adequate interpretation of topicIdeas ordinary, lacking depth Planning &/or drafting has produced an acceptably presentable essay for SAL.	-Content ordinary. Gaps in coherenceIdeas mostly relevant. Repetitive Planning &/or drafting has produced a moderately presentable & coherent essay for SAL.	-Content not always clear, lacks coherenceVery few ideas, often repetitiveInadequate evidence of planning/drafting. Essay not well presented.	-Content irrelevant. No coherenceIdeas muddled, confusedNon-existent planning/drafting. Poorly presented essay.
	<u>6–7</u>	<u>5-5½</u>	4½	<u>3½-4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2½</u>	<u>0–2</u>
LANGUAGE, STYLE & EDITING (7 MARKS)	-Evidence of critical language awarenessLanguage, punctuation thoroughly controlledUses appropriate figurative languageChoice of words is all appropriateStyle accurately reflects topic through choice of wordsLargely error-free following proofreading & editing.	-Some critical language awarenessLanguage, punctuation reasonably controlled; able to use appropriate figurative languageChoice of words mostly appropriateStyle appropriately reflects topic through choice of wordsError-free to an extent following proofreading, editing.	-Limited critical language awarenessLanguage & punctuation mostly correctChoice of words fairly limited but suited to textStyle reasonably appropriate & suits topic requirementsReasonably error-free following proofreading, editing.	-Some awareness of impact of languageLanguage somewhat simplistic, punctuation adequateChoice of words somewhat limited but adequately suited to topicStyle somewhat consistent with topic requirementsStill contains errors following proofreading, editing.	-Language limited & punctuation often inaccurately usedChoice of words basic & limitedStyle lacking in coherence & not suited to topicContains several errors following proof-reading, editing.	-Language & punctuation flawedChoice of words very limitedStyle does not correspond with topicError-ridden despite proofreading, editing.	-Language & punctuation seriously flawedChoice of words muddled & confusedStyle flawed in all aspectsError-ridden & confused following proofreading, editing.
	<u>4–5</u>	3½	<u>3</u>	<u>2½</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1½</u>	<u>0–1</u>
STRUCTURE (5 MARKS)	-Coherent development of topic. Vivid detailSentences, paragraphs all appropriately constructed conveying meaning clearlyLength correct.	-Logical development of details. CoherentSentences, paragraphs appropriately constructed & meaning is clearLength correct.	-Few relevant details developedSentences, paragraphs reasonably constructed in most cases & meaning is quite clearLength correct.	-Some points, necessary details mentioned. -Lapses in sentence & paragraph construction but overall meaning is maintained. -Length almost correct.	-Some necessary points evidentSentences, paragraphs faulty but overall meaning maintainedLength – too long/short.	-Sometimes off topic. General line of thought difficult to followSentences, paragraphs lack basic construction for meaningLength – too long/short.	-Off topicSentences, paragraphs muddled, inconsistentLength – far too long/short.

SECTION B: RUBRIC FOR ASSESSING LONGER TRANSACTIONAL TEXTS – SECOND ADDITIONAL LANGUAGE (20)

CONTENT, PLANNING & FORMAT (14 MARKS)	Code 7: Outstanding 80–100% 11½–14 -Very good knowledge of requirements of the textLearner maintains focus on topic, no digressionContent and ideas coherent, text has details supporting the topicEvidence of planning and/or drafting has	Code 6: Meritorious 70–79% 10–11 -Good knowledge of requirements of textLearner maintains focus, hardly any digressionsText is fairly coherent in content and ideas, and topic has details supporting the textEvidence of planning and/or drafting has produced a fairly presentable and	Code 5: Substantial 60–69% 8½–9½ -Fair knowledge of requirements of textLearner maintains focus with minor digressionsText is reasonably coherent in content and ideasEvidence of planning and/or drafting has produced a reasonably presentable and	Code 4: Adequate 50–59% 7–8 -Adequate knowledge of requirements of textWriting – learner digresses but does not impede overall meaningAdequately coherent in content & ideas, some details support topicEvidence of planning and/or drafting has	Code 3: Moderate 40 – 49% 6–6½ -Moderate knowledge of requirements of text. Response to writing task reveals a narrow focusWriting – learner digresses, meaning vague in placesModerately coherent in content & ideas, some details support topicEvidence of planning	Code 2: Elementary 30–39% 4½–5½ -Elementary knowledge of requirements of text. Response to writing task reveals a limited focusWriting – learner digresses, meaning obscure in many placesNot always coherent in content & ideas, has few details which	Code 1: Not achieved 0-29% 0-4 -No knowledge of requirements of textWriting – digresses, meaning obscure in most placesNot coherent in content & ideas, has very few details which support topicInadequate planning and/or drafting. Very poorly presented textHas not applied
	produced a very presentable text.	coherent textHas applied the necessary rules of format well.	coherent textHas applied most of the necessary rules of format.	produced an acceptable text for SALHas adequately applied the necessary rules of format.	and/or drafting has produced a moderately presentably text for SALHas a moderate idea of requirements of format – some obvious oversights.	support topicLimited evidence of planning and/or drafting. Text not well presentedHas vaguely applied necessary rules of format – some critical oversights.	necessary rules of format.
	<u>5–6</u>	41/2	<u>4</u>	3-31/2	2½	<u>2</u>	0-11/2
LANGUAGE, STYLE & EDITING (6 MARKS)	-Has applied all the necessary rules of formatText is mostly grammatically accurate and well constructedVocabulary mostly appropriate to purpose, audience and contextStyle mostly appropriateText mostly error-free following proofreading and editingLength correct.	-Well constructed & fairly accurateVocabulary is fairly appropriate to purpose, audience & context Style mostly appropriateText fairly error-free following proofreading & editingLength correct.	-Well constructed & reasonably accurateVocabulary reasonably appropriate to purpose, audience & contextStyle reasonably appropriateReasonably error-free following proofreading & editingLength correct.	-Adequately constructed. Errors do not impede flowVocabulary adequate for purpose, audience & contextStyle, fairly appropriateStill contains a fair number of errors following proof-reading & editingLength almost correct.	-Basically constructed. Several errorsVocabulary limited & not very suitable for purpose, audience & contextLapses in styleText contains a number of errors following proof-reading & editingLength – too long/short.	-Poorly constructed & difficult to followVocabulary requires some remediation & not suitable for purpose, audience & contextStyle hardly corresponds with topicMostly error-ridden despite proof-reading, editingLength – too long/short.	-Poorly constructed & very difficult to followVocabulary requires serious remediation & not suitable for purposeStyle does not correspond with topicError-ridden and very confusing following proofreading, editingLength – far too long/short.

Copyright reserved

SECTION C: RUBRIC FOR ASSESSING SHORTER TRANSACTIONAL/REFERENCTIAL/INFORMATIONAL TEXTS – SECOND ADDITIONAL LANGUAGE (20)

	Code 7: Outstanding 80–100% 11½–14	Code 6: Meritorious 70–79% <u>10–11</u>	Code 5: Substantial 60–69% <u>8½–9½</u>	Code 4: Adequate 50–59% 7–8	Code 3: Moderate 40–49% <u>6–6½</u>	Code 2: Elementary 30–39% <u>4½–5½</u>	Code 1: Not achieved 0-29% <u>0-4</u>
CONTENT, PLANNING & FORMAT (14 MARKS)	-Very good knowledge of requirements of the textLearner maintains focus on topic, no digressionContent and ideas coherent, text has details supporting the topicEvidence of planning and/or drafting has produced a very presentable text.	-Good knowledge of requirements of textLearner maintains focus, hardly any digressionsText is fairly coherent in content and ideas, and topic has details supporting the textEvidence of planning and/or drafting has produced a fairly presentable and coherent textHas applied the necessary rules of format well.	-Fair knowledge of requirements of textLearner maintains focus with minor digressionsText is reasonably coherent in content and ideasEvidence of planning and/or drafting has produced a reasonably presentable and coherent textHas applied most of the necessary rules of format.	-Adequate knowledge of requirements of textWriting – digresses but does not impede overall meaningAdequately coherent in content & ideas, some details support topicEvidence of planning and/or drafting has produced an acceptable text for SALHas adequately applied the necessary rules of format.	-Moderate knowledge of requirements of text. Response to writing task reveals a narrow focusWriting – digresses, meaning vague in placesModerately coherent in content & ideas, some details support topicEvidence of planning and/or drafting has produced a moderately presentably text for SALHas a moderate idea of requirements of format – some obvious oversights.	-Elementary knowledge of requirements of text. Response to writing task reveals a limited focusWriting – digresses, meaning obscure in many placesNot always coherent in content & ideas, has few details which support topicLimited evidence of planning and/or drafting. Text not well presentedHas vaguely applied necessary rules of format – some critical oversights.	-No knowledge of requirements of text. Response to writing task reveals a very limited focusWriting – digresses, meaning obscure in most placesNot coherent in content & ideas, has very few details which support topicInadequate planning and/or drafting. Very poorly presented textHas not applied necessary rules of format.
	<u>5–6</u>	4½	4	3-31/2	<u>2½</u>	<u>2</u>	0-11/2
LANGUAGE, STYLE & EDITING (6 MARKS)	-Has applied all the necessary rules of formatText is mostly grammatically accurate and well constructedVocabulary mostly appropriate to purpose, audience and contextStyle mostly appropriateText mostly error-free following proof-reading and editingLength correct.	-Well constructed & fairly accurateVocabulary is fairly appropriate to purpose, audience & contextStyle mostly appropriateText fairly error-free following proof-reading & editingLength correct.	-Well constructed & reasonably accurateVocabulary reasonably appropriate to purpose, audience & contextStyle reasonably appropriateReasonably error-free following proof-reading & editingLength correct.	-Adequately constructed. Errors do not impede flowVocabulary adequate for purpose, audience & contextStyle, fairly appropriateStill contains a fair number of errors following proof-reading & editingLength almost correct.	-Basically constructed. Several errorsVocabulary limited & not very suitable for purpose, audience & contextLapses in styleText contains a number of errors following proof-reading & editingLength – too long/short.	-Poorly constructed & difficult to followVocabulary requires some remediation & not suitable for purpose, audience & contextStyle hardly corresponds with topicMostly error-ridden despite proofreading, editingLength – too long/short.	-Poorly constructed & very difficult to followVocabulary requires serious remediation & not suitable for purposeStyle does not correspond with topicError-ridden and very confusing following proofreading, editingLength – far too long/short.