



# GENERAL HOUSEHOLD SURVEY (GHS):

## FOCUS ON SCHOOLING 2020 – 2021

MAY 2023



**basic education**  
Department:  
Basic Education  
REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES . . . . .	iii
LIST OF FIGURES . . . . .	iv
ACRONYMS . . . . .	1
1. INTRODUCTION . . . . .	2
2. METHODOLOGY . . . . .	2
3. PROGRESS IN THE SCHOOLING SYSTEM AND THE IMPACT OF THE PANDEMIC. . . . .	3
4. INTERVENTIONS DRIVEN BY THE DEPARTMENT OF BASIC EDUCATION . . . . .	4
5. PARTICIPATION IN EDUCATION INSTITUTIONS. . . . .	5
5.1 Participation in Early Childhood Development programmes. . . . .	6
5.2 Primary Education . . . . .	7
5.3 Compulsory education . . . . .	7
5.4 Secondary Education . . . . .	7
5.5 Accessibility to the Further Education and Training (FET) Phase . . . . .	8
5.6 Post-school Education . . . . .	8
6. HIGHEST LEVEL OF EDUCATION. . . . .	9
6.1 Grade 7 attainment. . . . .	9
6.2 Grade 9 attainment. . . . .	9
6.3 Grade 12 attainment . . . . .	9
7. REPETITION. . . . .	10
8. EARLY CHILDHOOD DEVELOPMENT . . . . .	11
9. CHILDREN WITH DISABILITIES. . . . .	13
10. OUT OF SCHOOL CHILDREN. . . . .	16
11. DROP-OUT AND SURVIVAL RATES . . . . .	17
12. REASONS FOR NON-ATTENDANCE OR FOR NOT ATTENDING THE NEAREST INSTITUTION . . . . .	18
13. COMPLAINTS ABOUT EDUCATION . . . . .	20
14. LEARNER PREGNANCY. . . . .	21
15. ABSENTEEISM . . . . .	23
16. ORPHANS. . . . .	25
17. SCHOLAR TRANSPORT. . . . .	26
18. VIOLENCE AT SCHOOLS . . . . .	27
19. SCHOOL FEES. . . . .	28
20. CHILD SUPPORT GRANT (CSG) . . . . .	29
21. NATIONAL SCHOOL NUTRITION PROGRAMME (NSNP). . . . .	30
22. ACCESS TO REMOTE LEARNING. . . . .	31
23. CONCLUSION. . . . .	31
APPENDIX . . . . .	32
BIBLIOGRAPHY . . . . .	45

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Reasons for not attending Early Learning Programmes among 0-3 year-olds, 2019-2021 . . . . .	12
Table 2: Reasons for not attending Early Learning Programmes among 4-6 year-olds, 2019-2021 . . . . .	13
Table 3: Alternative care if 0-3 year-olds were not attending an Early Learning Programme, 2019-2021. . . . .	13
Table 4: Alternative care if 4-6 year-olds were not attending an Early Learning Programme, 2019-2021. . . . .	13
Table 5: Number and percentage of children who are out of school. . . . .	17
Table 6: Survival and drop-out rates by grade . . . . .	18
Table 7: Reasons for non-attendance of educational institutions, 2019 . . . . .	19
Table 8: Reasons for not attending the nearest institution, 2019. . . . .	20
Table 9: Complaints about education by province, 2019 . . . . .	21
Table 10: Number and percentage of learners who reported being pregnant. . . . .	22
Table 11: Percentage of learners absent from school by the number of days absent, 2010-2019. . . . .	24
Table 12: Reasons for absenteeism . . . . .	24
Table 13: Proportions of 7 to 18-year-olds that use different modes of transport, 2010-2019 . . . . .	26
Table 14: Percentage of learners benefitting from Scholar Transport . . . . .	27
Table 15: Types of violence experienced by learners by province, 2019. . . . .	28
Table 16: Percentage of 0 to 3-year-olds attending an Early Learning Programme, 2012-2021 . . . . .	32
Table 17: Percentage of 4-year-olds attending an Early Learning Programme, 2012-2021 . . . . .	32
Table 18: Percentage of 5 and 6-year-olds attending an Early Learning Programme, 2012-2021 . . . . .	33
Table 19: Table 21: Percentage of 7 to 13-year-olds attending an educational institution, 2010-2021 . . . . .	33
Table 20: Percentage of 7 to 15-year-olds attending an educational institution, 2010-2021. . . . .	34
Table 21: Percentage of 14 to 18-year-olds attending an educational institution, 2010-2021 . . . . .	34
Table 22: Percentage of 16 to 18-year-olds attending an educational institution, 2010-2021 . . . . .	35
Table 23: Percentage of 19 to 23-year-olds attending an educational institution, 2010-2021 . . . . .	35
Table 24: Percentage of 16-18-year-olds who have completed Grade 7 or above, 2010-2021. . . . .	36
Table 25: Percentage of 19-21-year-olds who have completed Grade 9 or above, 2010-2021. . . . .	36
Table 26: Percentage of 22-25-year-olds who have completed Grade 12 or above, 2010-2021 . . . . .	37
Table 27: Percentage of 5-6-year-olds with disabilities attending educational institutions, 2010-2021. . . . .	37
Table 28: Percentage of 7-15-year-olds with disabilities attending educational institutions, 2010-2021 . . . . .	38
Table 29: Percentage of 16-18-year-olds with disabilities attending educational institutions, 2010-2021 . . . . .	38
Table 30: Percentage of 7-15-year-olds who are not attending educational institutions, 2011-2021 . . . . .	39
Table 31: Percentage of 16-18-year-olds who are not attending educational institutions, 2011-2021 . . . . .	39
Table 32: Percentage of learners not attending the nearest institution, 2010-2019 . . . . .	40
Table 33: Complaints about education service delivery by province, 2010-2019 . . . . .	40
Table 34: Percentage of learners who indicated they were absent in the past 5 days, 2010-2019 . . . . .	41
Table 35: Percentage of learners attending school who are orphans, 2010-2021. . . . .	41
Table 36: Percentage of 5-6-year-olds walking to school for more than 30 minutes a day . . . . .	42
Table 37: Percentage of 7-15-year-olds walking to school for more than 30 minutes a day . . . . .	42
Table 38: Percentage of 16-18-year-olds walking to school for more than 30 minutes a day . . . . .	43
Table 39: Percentage of learners who experienced violence, corporal punishment or verbal abuse, 2010-2019 . . . . .	43
Table 40: Percentage of learners in public schools benefitting from NSNP, 2010-2021. . . . .	44
Table 41: Average learners in public and private schools with the option of remote schooling . . . . .	44

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Overall summary participation in educational institutions . . . . .	5
Figure 2: Percentage of 0-4-year-olds attending ECD facilities, 2010-2021 . . . . .	6
Figure 3: Percentage of 0-6-year-olds attending an educational institution, 2009-2021. . . . .	7
Figure 4: Age-specific enrolment rates for different age groups, 2010 - 2021 . . . . .	8
Figure 5: Completion rates of Grade 7, Grade 9 and Grade 12 between 2002-2021 . . . . .	9
Figure 6: percentage of learners repeating the current grade . . . . .	10
Figure 7: Percentage of Primary School learners repeating the current grade . . . . .	10
Figure 8: Percentage of Secondary School learners repeating the current grade. . . . .	11
Figure 9: Percentage of 0 to 6-year olds attending different ECD modalities, 2021 . . . . .	12
Figure 10: Type of educational participation amongst 5-6-year-olds, 2019-2021 . . . . .	12
Figure 11: Percentage of children paying fees to attend an Early Learning Programme, 2021 . . . . .	13
Figure 12: Percentage of children with disabilities as a total percentage of children attending schools, 2004-2021 . . . . .	14
Figure 13: Percentage of 5 to 6-year-old with disabilities and those without disabilities attending educational institutions by province, 2015-2021 . . . . .	15
Figure 14: Percentage of 7 to 15-year-olds with disabilities and those without disabilities attending educational institutions by province, 2015-2021 . . . . .	15
Figure 15: Percentage of 16 to 18-year-olds with disabilities and without disabilities attending educational institutions by province, 2015-2021 . . . . .	16
Figure 16: Children who are not attending educational institutions, 2011 – 2021. . . . .	17
Figure 17: Proportion of learners not attending nearest institutions, 2019 . . . . .	20
Figure 18: Percentage of female learners age 14 and older who reported being pregnant in the past 12 months, 2010 – 2019 . . . . .	22
Figure 19: Percentage of female learners per grade who reported being pregnant . . . . .	22
Figure 20: Average daily absenteeism rate in primary school, 2010-2019 . . . . .	24
Figure 21: Average daily absenteeism rate in secondary school, 2010-2019. . . . .	25
Figure 22: Percentage of children attending schools who are orphans, 2002-2021 . . . . .	25
Figure 23: Age-Specific Enrolment (ASER) Status by orphanage status, 2021 . . . . .	26
Figure 24: Percentage of learners who have experienced violence, 2010-2019 . . . . .	28
Figure 25: Percentage of learners who paid school fees (Public Schools), 2010-2021. . . . .	29
Figure 26: Percentage of learners who paid school fees (Private Schools), 2010-2021 . . . . .	29
Figure 27: Attendance rates of 7-15-year-old recipients and non-recipients of child support grants . . . . .	30
Figure 28: Percentage of learners benefitting from the NSNP by province, 2010-2021 . . . . .	30
Figure 29: Frequency of eating the meals provided, 2021 . . . . .	31
Figure 30: Percentage of learners in public and private schools with the option of remote schooling. . . . .	31

## ACRONYMS

AIR	Apparent Intake Rate
ASER	Age Specific Enrolment Rate
CAPS	Curriculum and Assessment Policy Statement
CSG	Child Support Grant
DBE	Department of Basic Education
DOH	Department of Health
ECD	Early Childhood Development
FET	Further Education and Training
GHS	General Household Survey
LTSM	Learning and Teaching Support Material
MTSF	Medium Term Strategic Framework
NER	Net Enrolment Ratio
NSC	National Senior Certificate
NSNP	National School Nutrition Programme
PIRLS	Progress in International Reading Literacy Study
SDG	Sustainable Development Goals
STATS SA	Statistics South Africa
TIMSS	Trends in International Mathematics and Science Study
TVET	Technical and Vocational Education and Training
UN	United Nations

# 1. INTRODUCTION

The General Household Survey (GHS) is an annual survey conducted by Statistics South Africa (Stats SA) in approximately 22,000 households to measure various aspects of South African households' living circumstances. This survey, first compiled in 2002, provides a platform for assessing the quality of service delivery in several key service sectors over nearly two decades. One of these key sectors is education, and the Department of Basic Education (DBE) has a close working relationship with Stats SA. Over the years, the education-related section of the questionnaire has expanded considerably to provide useful information on the performance of the sector. The DBE uses GHS data to assess its mandate to provide basic education, including adult basic education, to all citizens regardless of geographical or economic factors.

The GHS is one of the key sources of information on learner enrolment, school nutrition programmes, learner repetition, learner pregnancy, access to Early Childhood Development (ECD) programmes, and age-grade enrolment rates, among other indicators of interest. While the GHS has certain advantages over other data sources such as administrative data or school-based surveys, it also has some limitations. Nevertheless, the consistent methodology used since 2002 enables the analysis of trends over time, which is particularly important for evaluating policy effectiveness.

This report focuses on schooling information, including the participation of children in ECD programmes, learner attendance in schools and other educational institutions, learner repetition rates, highest educational attainment, tuition fees, orphanhood, problems learners face at schools, and the prevalence of pregnancy among learners. Most of the information is disaggregated by province, population group, and gender, and the report provides useful insights into the state of certain indicators in education for programme managers, decision-makers, researchers, and other government departments. Additionally, the report assists in tracking and monitoring some of the goals and indicators in the sector plan, "Action Plan to 2024: Towards the Realisation of Schooling 2030," and fulfils various national and international education reporting obligations, including the Sustainable Development Goal Number 4 (SDG4) and government's Medium Term Strategic Framework (MTSF).

# 2. METHODOLOGY

## Data Collection and Analysis:

The data for this report was collected from the General Household Survey (GHS) by Statistics South Africa (Stats SA) between 2002 and 2021. The GHS is a nationally representative sample survey of South African households, and the estimates of population characteristics are inherently made with some margin of error. The information was analysed using STATA, a data management software.

## Reporting:

The information is reported in percentages as far as possible. It is recommended that any indicators reported on absolute numbers should be interpreted with caution since the GHS data is based on a sample. More information on the data collected on other key service sectors can be obtained from Stats SA, as the data presented in this report are based on the analysis done by the Department of Basic Education (DBE) and specifically focuses on education indicators.

## Sample Size:

The sample size of the GHS has declined over the years, with the number of households declining from over 25,000 in 2002-2007 to approximately 20,000 in 2019. These declines are the result of changes in the master sample from which the GHS sample is drawn.

## Margin of Error:

The margin of error can be calculated through statistical formulae, and in some instances in this report, the margin of error is indicated through confidence intervals. However, the margin of error is not always indicated, in which case the reader should be careful when comparing differences between estimates, especially when such differences are quite small or when the analysis is based on a small subset of the sample.

### **The shift to electronic data collection:**

For the 2019 GHS data, Stats SA migrated to electronic data collection which allowed for new methods of data verification being done by the data collection software. These methods include incorporating skip-patterns to allow only certain individuals to be asked specific questions based on previous responses. While this is a powerful method for ensuring the internal validity of the data, it can also introduce some complications if the skip patterns were incorrectly set up initially. For example, a problem with the skip patterns in 2019 and 2020 meant that we are unable to calculate grade repetition rates for those years.

### **Methodological Changes in 2020 and 2021:**

The COVID-19 pandemic disrupted the usual way in which the GHS was administered, and the survey in both 2020 and 2021 was administered telephonically. This change in methodology has the potential to affect comparability of responses to certain questions. A major disadvantage of this method is household non-response, which is unlikely to be a random process and could therefore lead to biased statistics. The response rates were 39.4% in 2020 and 40.1% in 2021. Despite these major methodological changes affecting 2020 and 2021, many of the statistics reported here appear remarkably stable compared to previous years. The questionnaires were also somewhat reduced compared to previous years, presumably to keep telephonic interviews to an acceptable time, and this has meant that certain educational statistics are not available for 2020 and 2021.

### **Data Release:**

Finally, it should be noted that the release of the 2020 GHS data was much later than usual, which has resulted in the DBE only producing this one report for both 2020 and 2021, rather than having first released a 2020 GHS report. More methodological details on the GHS can be found in Stats SA's statistical releases available on their website and on the DataFirst website.

## **3. PROGRESS IN THE SCHOOLING SYSTEM AND THE IMPACT OF THE PANDEMIC**

In recent decades, the basic education sector in South Africa has made significant progress towards transformation along six internationally acknowledged dimensions: access, redress, equity, quality, efficiency and inclusivity. There is now near-universal access to schooling as measured, for instance, by the attendance rate amongst learners of the compulsory school-going age (7 to 15-year-olds), which has been above 98% ever since about 2009. Household survey data, such as the GHS, has been valuable for understanding these trends of improved access to schooling, since household data has the advantage of containing information about children both attending school and not attending school.

The major challenge regarding South Africa's education is now widely understood to be the quality of learning, in particular the quality of foundational learning in areas such as reading and mathematics in the early grades. The most reliable measurements of the country's learning outcomes have been provided by international assessments of learning, including the Trends in International Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS), the Progress in International Reading Literacy Study (PIRLS) and the Southern and East African Consortium for Monitoring Education Quality (SEACMEQ). These surveys are independent, are based on large nationally representative sample surveys, and allow valid comparisons of outcomes over time. All three of these international assessment programmes have revealed significant improvements in the learning of South African children since about 2002, albeit off a base of extremely low levels of learning. South Africa has participated in TIMSS since 1995 and the latest round of assessment was conducted in 2019. The 2019 result showed that there has been a substantive improvement in the Mathematics and Science performance of Grade 9 learners. In 2003, merely 10.5% of Grade 9 learners performed at or above a minimum proficiency level<sup>1</sup> in Mathematics, whereas in 2019 this has increased to 41%. The PIRLS results between 2006 and 2016 similarly showed that South Africa is at a fast rate of improvement by international standards and had the steepest improvement after Morocco and Oman (Gustafsson, 2020).

The disruptions to schooling all around the world caused by the COVID-19 pandemic are well known. In South Africa, school closures and rotational timetabling (to accommodate social distancing at school) meant that in the worst affected schools and grades up to 60% of school days were lost in 2020 and 50% in 2021. Although much concern was initially raised about the possible impacts on dropping out of school and on the NSC results, it turns out that these areas were not in fact significantly

<sup>1</sup> This is the Low International Benchmark (400 points) in the TIMSS assessment. Learners performing at least at this level demonstrated that they have some basic mathematical knowledge.



negatively affected. The earliest indications of the impact of the pandemic on school participation rates came from the National Income Dynamics Study – Coronavirus Rapid Mobile Survey (NIDS-CRAM). This nationally representative household survey suggested that about 500 000 more children than usual were not attending school, and this was largely characterised in the media as “dropout”. However, subsequently available DBE administrative data on school enrolment numbers contradicted this result, showing that more children were in fact enrolled in the school system in 2021 than in previous years, and that it was only in Grade R and Grade 1 where a reduction in enrolment had occurred. The GHS data from 2020 and 2021 corroborate the DBE administrative data, confirming that education participation rates in 2020 and 2021 were in fact higher than before amongst 16-18 year-olds, roughly the same amongst 8-15 year-olds and significantly lower amongst 4-7 year-olds. It seems that the pandemic caused lower attendance of young children at ECD programmes, and delayed entry for some children into the school system. Importantly, these patterns cannot be characterised as dropping out of school.

The results from the National Senior Certificate (NSC) examinations of 2020 and 2021 attest to the higher participation of older learners in school. Although the NSC pass rates were slightly lower in 2020 and 2021 than in 2019, there were more candidates, more passes and more bachelor passes than ever before. The main reason for this appears to be that grade repetition policies were relaxed in response to the disruptions of 2020 – indeed the GHS data reported here shows much lower rates than usual of children repeating a grade in 2021 – and this may have encouraged more candidates than usual to remain in school and write the NSC examination. The fact that many of these “additional” NSC candidates ultimately passed, perhaps reveals that the traditional patterns of high grade repetition in grades 10 and 11, and selectivity in who enters the NSC examinations may have been inefficient. It should also be noted that grade 12 was least affected by school closures and that government implemented an unusually intensive set of support interventions in an attempt to give these learners the best chance of a fair opportunity in the NSC examinations.

The most negative impact of the pandemic on education has been the learning that was foregone due to substantial losses in available teaching time in 2020 and 2021. The DBE has led the way, not only locally but also internationally, in measuring and reporting on the impacts of the pandemic on learning outcomes. Several large datasets (though none nationally representative) have all pointed to substantial learning losses. The Early Grade Reading Study (EGRS) data from the North West Province, for example, showed that reading outcomes for grade 4 children in 2021 were lower than reading outcomes on the exact same metric were for grade 3 children in 2018 (before the pandemic). This implies that more than a full years’ worth of learning was foregone as a result of the pandemic. Data from the Western Cape systemic assessments also suggest large learning losses in the early grades, especially in mathematics and in lower quintile schools where remote learning options were less likely to be available during the pandemic. The negative impact on ECD attendance, as shown in this report, may also have had a negative effect on early learning. These negative impacts on early learning outcomes will probably be the most enduring legacy of the pandemic on education in South Africa, and may impact on dropping out and NSC results in years to come.

## 4. INTERVENTIONS DRIVEN BY THE DEPARTMENT OF BASIC EDUCATION

The Department of Basic Education (DBE) recognizes that effective learning requires a holistic approach, including factors beyond the delivery of curriculum. For this reason, the DBE collaborates with Provincial Departments and other National Departments to deliver integrated services on health, nutrition, transport, early childhood education, and learning and teaching support materials (LTSM) to learners in South Africa.

One of the key interventions is the Integrated School Health Programme, jointly implemented by the Departments of Basic Education and Health since 2012. The programme provides a comprehensive package of services to learners in primary and secondary schools, including health education, health screening for vision, hearing, oral health, and tuberculosis, as well as deworming and immunization services. The health education component focuses on age-appropriate topics, such as sexual and reproductive health, personal hygiene, safety, and drug and substance abuse.

The National School Deworming Programme, launched in 2016, is another critical intervention led by the DBE. Health Programme officials administer deworming tablets to learners in Grade R to Grade 7 in Quintile 1-3 schools to improve their health, reduce health barriers to learning, and promote quality education.

The DBE has also successfully implemented the National School Nutrition Programme (NSNP), which provides one nutritious meal per day to primary and secondary learners in Quintile 1-3 schools. A recent evaluation of the NSNP implementation suggests positive effects on punctuality, regular school attendance, concentration, and the general well-being of participating learners.



The DBE Workbook Programme is another crucial initiative, ensuring that all public school learners have access to workbooks in Literacy up to Grade 6 and Numeracy up to Grade 9. The workbooks are available in all 11 official home languages, and Mathematics workbooks are available up to Grade 3 in all 11 languages and up to Grade 9 in English and Afrikaans. The workbooks provide learners with the opportunity to practice language and numeracy skills required by the Curriculum and Assessment Policy Statements (CAPS).

Lastly, The Scholar Transport programme is a recent collaboration between the DBE and the Department of Transport, aimed at providing safe transport solutions to learners who live far away from their nearest school. The programme ensures that all learners have access to education, regardless of their geographical location. Through dedicated transport services, the Scholar Transport programme caters to the needs of learners, providing them with a safe and reliable means of transportation to and from school.

In South Africa, learners face various challenges that hinder their pursuit of quality education. The DBE is committed to addressing these challenges and meeting the needs of learners to ensure that they can fully utilize the opportunities provided and reach for a better future.

## 5. PARTICIPATION IN EDUCATION INSTITUTIONS<sup>2</sup>

Figure 1 shows Age-Specific Enrolment Rates (ASER) by single age group for 2010, 2019, 2020 and 2021. It is evident that participation in educational institutions increased between 2010 and 2019 – the continuation of a long and steady trend from before that. The increase in participation was sharpest among younger children, reflecting increasing access to ECD Programmes and Grade R.

There is of course considerable interest in the impact of the pandemic on educational participation, including on dropout. Figure 1 indicates that participation in 2020 for 8–15-year-olds remained high (above 97%) and was only slightly lower than in 2019. For 16–20-year-olds, perhaps surprisingly, participation rates actually increased in 2020, before dropping back down to levels similar to those of 2019. It is not clear why this happened. One possibility could be that with the partial shutting down of the economy in 2020, fewer job opportunities presented themselves to 16–20-year-olds attending school. There was, however, a clear drop in educational participation for younger children. For 4-, 5- and 6-year-olds, there was a substantial drop in attendance in 2020 followed by a partial recovery in 2021. We can therefore conclude that the main negative impact of the pandemic on educational participation was amongst young children. Rather than causing learners to drop out of school, the pandemic caused lower, and perhaps delayed, educational participation amongst young children.

**Figure 1: Overall summary participation in educational institutions**



**Source:** Statistics South Africa, General Household Survey (GHS), own calculations. **Notes:** 95% confidence intervals shown.

<sup>2</sup> Educational Institutions refers to Pre-school (e.g. Day care, Crèche, Play group, Nursery school or pre-primary school), School (Grade R to Grade 12), Adult Education and Training Learning centre, Literacy classes (e.g. Kha Ri Gude), Higher Educational Institutions (University/ University of Technology) Technical and Vocational Education and Training (TVET) college, Home based education/home schooling and Other colleges.

## 5.1 Participation in Early Childhood Development programmes.


The early years of a child's life are critical for the acquisition of concepts, skills and attitudes that lay the foundation for lifelong learning. These include acquiring language, perceptual and motor skills required for learning to read and write, basic numeracy concepts and skills, problem-solving and a love of learning. In South Africa, the importance of this critical period of development is recognised, with the National Development Plan stating that two years of quality preschool enrolment for 4 and 5 year-olds should be made compulsory before Grade 1. This section will attest to the large strides made in reaching both the goal of universal access to Grade R and the doubling of participation in ECD programmes.

For this analysis, ECD is defined as specified in section 91(1) of the South African Children's Act (Act No. 38 of 2005) and will therefore consider children in educational institutions from birth to 6 years old. ECD programmes captured in the GHS questionnaires include day-care centres, crèches, playgroups, nursery schools, day-care-mother or gogo, and pre-primary schools.

Figure 2 shows how the attendance of ECD facilities amongst 0-4 year-olds increased substantially between 2010 and 2019, but then dropped sharply in 2020 as the COVID-19 pandemic hit. Attendance was better in 2021 but still not as high as it was in 2019. The same overall patterns are seen in Figure 3, in which 0-3-year-olds are grouped together and 5- and 6-year-olds are also shown. Clearly, educational attendance for these young age groups was strongly negatively affected by the pandemic.


Tables 16-18 in the Appendix show educational attendance amongst young children by province, population group and gender. The Western Cape, Gauteng, Limpopo and Free-State generally have the largest proportions of children attending early learning opportunities with the lowest participation rates occurring in the Northern Cape and KwaZulu-Natal. White children were generally most likely to attend an early learning programme across most years and age groups, while there were no significant difference in participation by gender.

**Figure 2: Percentage of 0-4-year-olds attending ECD facilities, 2010-2021**



**Source:** Statistics South Africa, General Household Survey (GHS), own calculations. **Notes:** 95% confidence intervals shown.

**Figure 3: Percentage of 0-6-year-olds attending an educational institution, 2009-2021**



**Source:** Statistics South Africa, General Household Survey (GHS), own calculations. **Notes:** 95% confidence intervals shown.

**Note:** Several slight changes in the questionnaires over the years mean that year-on-year trends in this graph should be interpreted with caution.

## 5.2 Primary Education

Several indicators can be used to track access to primary education. These include Age-Specific Enrolment Rates (ASER), the Net Enrolment Ratio (NER) and the Apparent Intake Rate (AIR). The indicator used for this section is the ASER which is defined as the enrolment of a specific age, irrespective of the level of education, as a percentage of the population of the same age (UNESCO Institute for Statistics, 2009). The age group typically used to measure ASERs for primary education is 7 to 13 years old.

The ASER for primary education in South Africa has been over 99% since 2010 (Figure 4). There is not much of a difference in the attendance rate when disaggregating by province, gender or population group. However, it is necessary to note that some of these learners could potentially be enrolled in secondary education because of early enrolment in educational institutions.<sup>3</sup>

## 5.3 Compulsory education

The South African Schools Act of 1996 stipulates that children aged 7 to 15 should attend compulsory education which is from Grade 1 to Grade 9 (Republic of South Africa, 1996). Again the ASER was used to obtain trends in educational participation amongst this age group. The ASER in this instance denotes the percentage of the population aged 7 to 15 years old attending some form of education. Over 98% of learners in this age group have been attending educational institutions since 2009, highlighting the near-universal attendance rates for compulsory education in South Africa. There is no significant difference in the attendance of compulsory education when disaggregated by province, population group or gender.<sup>4</sup>

## 5.4 Secondary Education

According to the age requirements for admission to an ordinary public school, learners between 14 and 18 years of age are officially regarded as being appropriately aged for secondary education which comprises of Grades 8 to Grade 12 (DOE, 1998). It is likely that a certain percentage of learners in this age group will still be enrolled in primary education due to delayed school entrance or grade repetition. It is also likely that some will be enrolled at the tertiary education level due to early enrolment in educational institutions. The ASER was again used to calculate the trends in secondary education participation rates.

The participation rate for secondary education has been around 90% since 2010, with the participation rate in 2019 being 91% (Figure 4). Over the past ten years, Limpopo and the Free-State had the highest participation rate, while for most years the Western Cape had the lowest participation rate. These results are further analysed in section 5.5 and should therefore be interpreted in conjunction with the discussions in those sections. Over the period included in the analysis, males in this

<sup>3</sup> Disaggregated results are reported in table 19 in the Appendix.

<sup>4</sup> Disaggregated results are reported in table 20 in the Appendix.

age group were slightly more likely than females in the same age group to be attending educational institutions. The higher participation among males can largely be ascribed to delayed progression through school rather than better access to schooling compared to females – as later sections show, school completion rates are higher for females than for males. Coloured learners in this age group were the least likely to be attending educational institutions, with no difference between the likelihood of White and African/Black learners attending educational institutions.<sup>5</sup> This last point is noteworthy because there is a significant difference in secondary school completion rates between these two groups, as will be presented later in this report.

## 5.5 Accessibility to the Further Education and Training (FET) Phase


The FET phase comprises Grades 10 to 12. The appropriate age for this school phase is 16 to 18 years old. However, some learners who start school early may be attending the FET phase at younger ages, whereas other learners are above 18 years due to repetition or starting school late. Given these reasons, the ASER for 16 to 18-year-olds was used as the most appropriate measure of the participation rate of this age group, rather than for instance the Gross Enrolment Rate which can be over 100% if many over- or under-aged learners are present.

Since 2010 over 80% of 16 to 18-year-olds were attending education institutions (Figure 4).<sup>6</sup> Across the reported years, Limpopo has had the highest participation rate, while the participation rate was lowest in Northern Cape and Western Cape. This observation needs to be interpreted in conjunction with the fact that completion rates are not necessarily lower in the Western Cape. It can rather be a result of other provinces keeping learners in school for longer, but not being more likely to produce Grade 12 passes. The Western Cape is also relatively unique in its social context with gangsterism as well as more technical work opportunities providing an alternative to leave school.

## 5.6 Post-school Education

The aim of this section is to track what individuals do after leaving secondary school. To do so, the focus will be on individuals aged 19 to 23 years old as they will likely be completing school and furthering their education at higher education institutions. Figure 4 shows that participation in post-school educational institutions a little above 30% since 2010. The large proportion of individuals in this age group who are not enrolled in any educational institution is a concern and warrants further investigation into the activities which these individuals are involved with.

**Figure 4: Age-specific enrolment rates for different age groups, 2010 - 2021**



**Source:** Statistics South Africa, General Household Survey (GHS), own calculations. **Notes:** 95% confidence intervals shown.

<sup>5</sup> Disaggregated results are reported in tables 21 in the Appendix.

<sup>6</sup> Disaggregated results are reported in table 22 in the Appendix.

## 6. HIGHEST LEVEL OF EDUCATION<sup>7</sup>

This report also provides the levels of educational attainment for various age categories, population groups and gender. The level of educational attainment is used as a proxy to measure literacy levels within the country. The GHS confirms that there have been consistent increases over time in the percentages of individuals who completed Grades 7, 9 and 12. The strongest increases have been among Black and Coloured youths, although racial gaps persist in the likelihood of completing Grade 12.

### 6.1 Grade 7 attainment

Considering individuals aged 16 to 18 as being of the relevant age to have already completed Grade 7, it can be seen that in recent years over 95% of individuals have completed Grade 7. Moreover, it is good to note that the gap between the Eastern Cape and other provinces has gradually been reducing over the years. Focusing on the same age group, it is evident that there are no significant gender and population group differences in terms of the completion of Grade 7.


### 6.2 Grade 9 attainment

Overall there has been an increase in individuals aged 19 to 21 that completed Grade 9. There has been a significant increase in the percentage of learners attaining Grade 9 in both the Northern Cape and the Eastern Cape, although these provinces still have the lowest percentage of individuals who completed Grade 9 in 2019. Gauteng has consistently had the highest percentage of learners who completed Grade 9 since 2002. However, if we look at the individuals that completed Grade 9 disaggregated by population group, it can be seen that more White and Indian or Asian learners in this age group have completed Grade 9 compared to African and Coloured learners.

### 6.3 Grade 12 attainment

The percentage of individuals who completed Grade 12 has also steadily been increasing since 2002. There remains, however, a substantial racial gap, as significantly more White and Indian or Asian individuals in this age group (22 to 25-year-olds) have completed Grade 12 compared to Black or African and Coloured individuals. This pattern has remained consistent across the years. Females have consistently been more likely than males to have completed Grade 12. Gauteng (66%) consistently has the highest proportion of 22 to 25-year-olds having attained Grade 12, with the Eastern Cape (38%) and Limpopo (45%) consistently being the provinces with the lowest proportion of 22 to 25-year-olds who have attained Grade 12. The estimates probably slightly underestimate the percentage of youths completing matric since some 22 and 23-year-olds may still be completing matric.

**Figure 5: Completion rates of Grade 7, Grade 9 and Grade 12 between 2002-2021**




**Source:** Statistics South Africa, General Household Survey (GHS), own calculations. **Notes:** 95% confidence intervals shown.

<sup>7</sup> Disaggregated results corresponding to this section are reported in tables 24 to 26 in the Appendix.

## 7. REPETITION


Grade repetition rates can be measured using the GHS by calculating the percentage of all children in a particular grade that were in the same grade in the previous year. Unfortunately, this information was not available in the 2019 and 2020 GHS. As Figure 6 shows, repetition rates have typically been higher in secondary school than in primary school, peaking in grades 10 and 11 at almost 20%. It is also worth noting that the repetition rates found in GHS data, are possibly underestimates, since DBE administrative datasets are suggestive of higher rates, especially in Grade 1. However, the GHS data has the advantage that we can measure repetition rates using the same methodology over a number of years. Figure 6 shows that grade repetition rates were remarkably similar in 2009-2011 and 2017-2019, almost a decade later. However, the percentage of children who were repeating a grade in 2021 was much lower than in previous years. This is due to the relaxing of grade promotion requirements in response to the massive pandemic-related disruptions to the 2020 school year.<sup>8</sup> Figures 6-8 indicate that repetition rates tended to be lower in 2021 across all provinces, but there does appear to have been some variation in the way provinces managed grade repetition in 2021 (at the end of 2020).

**Figure 6: percentage of learners repeating the current grade**



*Source: Statistics South Africa, General Household Survey (GHS), own calculations.*


**Figure 7: Percentage of Primary School learners repeating the current grade**



*Source: Statistics South Africa, General Household Survey (GHS), own calculations.*

<sup>8</sup> DBE Circular S7 of 2020, titled 'Revised promotion requirements for Grade 10 and 11 for the 2020 academic year'. The applicability of the changes to promotions at the end of 2021 are detailed in DBE Circular S17 of 2021.

**Figure 8: Percentage of Secondary School learners repeating the current grade**



*Source: Statistics South Africa, General Household Survey (GHS), own calculations.*

## 8. EARLY CHILDHOOD DEVELOPMENT


The National Integrated Early Childhood Development Policy (Department of Basic Education, 2015) guides the different age- and developmentally appropriate Early Learning Programme modalities for children below the age of 6 years. Figure 9 shows the proportion of children at different developmental stages, attending different ECD programmes. In 2019, children in the 0 to the 2-year-old age group were more likely not to be participating in any form of institutionalised ECD, whereas 3 and 4-year olds were much more likely to be attending a preschool or an ECD centre. From age 5, it is clear that learners start entering Grade R and the formal schooling sector.

Children aged 3-years old and younger have different care-giving and stimulation needs than children aged 4-years old and older. Recognising this, Table 1 shows the reasons for children not attending an Early Learning Programme by the two different age-groups. In interpreting the results, it is important to recognise that just less than a quarter of children 3-years old and younger attended an Early Learning Programme, whereas 84% of children aged 4-6 attended an Early Learning Programme. Among the younger age-group, the largest majority of care-givers reported that they prefer that their child stays at home or with some-one else. About 5% of respondents also mentioned that facilities do not currently exist in their area. In a follow-up question, respondents were asked who is looking after the children if they are not attending an Early Learning Programme. The largest majority of children who are not attending an Early Learning Programme are being looked after by their care-givers.

The payment of fees could be a major determining factor for these preferences. Currently, only a proportion of ECD programmes qualify for receiving a government subsidy from the Department of Social Development. This means that parents or caregivers are still required to pay fees for sending their children to an Early Learning Programme. Figure 11 shows that only about 25% of parents are not paying any ECD fees, relative to 76% of parents benefitting from the no-fee school policy for children of school-going age.




**Figure 9: Percentage of 0 to 6-year olds attending different ECD modalities, 2021**



**Source:** Statistics South Africa, General Household Survey (GHS), own calculations.

**Figure 10: Type of educational participation amongst 5-6-year-olds, 2019-2021**



**Source:** Statistics South Africa, General Household Survey (GHS), own calculations

**Table 1: Reasons for not attending Early Learning Programmes among 0-3 year-olds, 2019-2021**

	2019	2020	2021
Attending	27%	19%	23%
Prefer that the child stay at home	58%	74%	71%
These facilities do not exist in our area	2%	1%	2%
Too expensive	8%	3%	2%
Other	5%	3%	3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

**Notes:** Respondents who were at a day-mother or a home-based play group was excluded from this analysis because they are considered to have attended a form of ELP.

**Table 2: Reasons for not attending Early Learning Programmes among 4-6 year-olds, 2019-2021**

	2019	2020	2021
Attending	87%	78%	84%
Prefer that the child stay at home	9%	16%	14%
These facilities do not exist in our area	1%	0%	1%
Too expensive	2%	4%	1%
Other	1%	1%	1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>


**Notes:** Respondents who were at a day-mother or a home-based play group was excluded from this analysis because they are considered to have attended a form of ELP.

**Table 3: Alternative care if 0-3 year-olds were not attending an Early Learning Programme, 2019-2021**

	2019	2020	2021
At home with parent, foster parent or guardian	85%	85%	87%
At home with another adult	13%	11%	11%
At home with someone younger than 18 years	0%	0%	0%
At someone else's dwelling	2%	2%	2%
Other	0%	1%	0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

**Table 4: Alternative care if 4-6 year-olds were not attending an Early Learning Programme, 2019-2021**

	2019	2020	2021
At home with parent, foster parent or guardian	83%	80%	84%
At home with another adult	11%	14%	12%
At someone else's dwelling	1%	4%	2%
Other	4%	3%	2%
<b>Total</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

**Figure 11: Percentage of children paying fees to attend an Early Learning Programme, 2021**

**Source:** Statistics South Africa, General Household Survey (GHS), own calculations.

**Note:** This figure includes only 0-6-year-olds who are attending ECD facilities

## 9. CHILDREN WITH DISABILITIES

The Department's White Paper 6 (DoE, 2001) outlines the Government's commitment to the provision of educational opportunities to learners who experience, or have experienced, barriers to learning and development. This is also outlined in the Sustainable Development Goals (SDG), Goal 4 which aims to "ensure inclusive and equitable quality education and promote lifelong learning opportunities for all". Both commitments serve to ensure that education is accessible to all, regardless of any barriers that one may encounter.

#### White Paper 6 outlines that government will:


- Base the provision of education for learners with disabilities on the intensity of support needed to overcome the debilitating impact of those disabilities;
- Place emphasis on supporting learners through full-service schools that will serve learners with particular disabilities, depending on the need and support;
- Indicate how learners with disabilities will be identified, assessed and incorporated in special, full-service and ordinary schools in an incremental manner;
- Introduce strategies and interventions that will assist educators to cope with a diversity of learning and teaching needs to ensure that transitory learning difficulties are ameliorated.

Over the period reported, the GHS changed the definition used to classify someone as disabled. Between 2002 and 2008, the GHS defined disability as an “impediment or impairment that limits a person from performing regular daily activities, and that has lasted for at least six months or more”. In 2009 the definition was changed to the United Nations (UN) definition that classifies a disability using six categories (these include any impairment concerning seeing, hearing, walking, communicating, and lastly, memory and concentration). Using this definition, an individual is classified as disabled if the individual experiences ‘some difficulty’ in two or more of the six functions, or has ‘a lot of difficulty or are unable to do’ one or more of the functions. Using the new definition, a larger percentage of learners are classified as “disabled” ever since 2009, as Figure 12 shows. Since the sample size of people with disabilities in the GHS is rather small, the data for the period 2015 to 2019 were pooled together for some of the analysis in this section.<sup>9</sup>

Overall, we observe an increase between 2002 and 2021 in the percentage of children who are disabled and attending schools, but this trend is mainly driven by the changes in the classification of “disabled”, as discussed above. Aside from the discontinuity in the trend caused by the definitional change in 2009, there is no consistent trend in the percentage of learners who have a disability. Year to year changes are probably caused by sampling variation rather than a significant change in the underlying population.

Figures 13-15 show the attendance rates for those with and without a disability for 5- and 6-year-olds, 7-15-year-olds and 16-18-year-olds, respectively. Across all age groups, those children with a disability were less likely to be attending school, although the gap was largest for 16-18-year-olds. Mpumalanga and KwaZulu-Natal appear to have the largest gaps in attendance rates between those with and without a disability. All additional results for learners with disabilities are reported in Tables 27 - 29 in the Appendix.


**Figure 12: Percentage of children with disabilities as a total percentage of children attending schools, 2004-2021**



**Source:** Statistics South Africa, General Household Survey (GHS), own calculations. **Notes:** 95% confidence intervals shown.


<sup>9</sup> It is not expected that the trend will vary dramatically in this period, and the pooled data provides a larger sample to draw more precise inferences from.

**Figure 13: Percentage of 5 to 6-year-old with disabilities and those without disabilities attending educational institutions by province, 2015-2021**




**Source:** Statistics South Africa, General Household Survey (GHS), own calculations. **Note:** Data for 2015 to 2021 has been pooled together to overcome small sample challenges. 95% confidence intervals shown.

**Figure 14: Percentage of 7 to 15-year-olds with disabilities and those without disabilities attending educational institutions by province, 2015-2021**



**Source:** Statistics South Africa, General Household Survey (GHS), own calculations. **Note:** Data for 2015 to 2021 has been pooled together to overcome small sample challenges. 95% confidence intervals shown.

**Figure 15: Percentage of 16 to 18-year-olds with disabilities and without disabilities attending educational institutions by province, 2015-2021**



**Source:** Statistics South Africa, General Household Survey (GHS), own calculations. **Note:** Data for 2015 to 2021 has been pooled together to overcome small sample challenges. 95% confidence intervals shown.

## 10. OUT OF SCHOOL CHILDREN<sup>10</sup>

“Out of school children” refers to children who fall in the range of the official school-going age which is 7 to 18 years old, but who are not enrolled in an educational institution. The GHS asked all individuals whether they are attending an educational institution currently. The value for out of school children was therefore calculated by observing the number of individuals aged 7 to 18 years old who reported that they are not currently attending any educational institution. The drop-out problem in South Africa is mainly among older learners and the out-of-school rate was, therefore, disaggregated to consider the rate for learners aged 7-15 and for learners aged 16-18 separately.


Figure 16 indicates that there has been a downward trend among out of school learners who are of the official school age. In 2011, around 470,000 children aged 7 to 18 years old were out of school, but this figure decreased to around 414,000 children in 2021.

Looking at those of the compulsory school age, it is evident that 1.2% of 7 to 15-year-olds were out of school in 2011 (around 104,000 children). This percentage has remained consistent ever since, although during the pandemic (2020) there was a slight increase in the number of 7-15-year-olds who were not attending school, and as seen earlier this was mostly driven by younger children, i.e. 7-year-olds. The Western Cape and the Northern Cape had the highest percentage of 7-15-year-olds who were not attending school. African learners were least likely to be out-of-school in this age group, with coloured learners being the most likely to have been out-of-school. There is no statistical difference when disaggregated across gender.

For those aged 16 to 18 years old, there has been a steady decline in the number and proportion of learners who were out of school. In 2011, around 366,000 (13%) learners in this age group were out of school, whereas about 249,000 (8.9%) of learners were out of school in 2021. Across the years Northern Cape, North West and Western Cape recorded the highest percentage of learners out of school in this age group, while Limpopo recorded the lowest percentage. In this age group, more Coloured learners were out of school than African/Black and White learners. Due to the small sample size, not much can be said about the Indian/Asian population group. There is no difference when disaggregated across gender.

<sup>10</sup> All results referred to in this section are reported in Tables 30 and 31 in the Appendix.

**Figure 16: Children who are not attending educational institutions, 2011 – 2021**



Source: Statistics South Africa, General Household Survey (GHS), own calculations.

**Table 5: Number and percentage of children who are out of school**

	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
<b>7 - 18 Year Olds</b>											
%	4	3.8	3.6	3.4	3.7	3.5	3	2.9	3.1	3.4	3.1
Total	470,236.60	455,009.70	435,291.90	391,853.30	432,231.60	412,684.70	359,903.60	353,262.40	389,901.20	440,190.80	414,409.80
<b>16 - 18 Year Olds</b>											
%	12.5	11.7	11	11.2	12.7	11.8	10	9.8	10.1	7.2	8.9
Total	366,355.40	348,142.60	325,166.00	302,693.50	325,256.40	308,006.80	265,229.20	254,563.60	254,797.90	188,321.30	248,848.20
<b>7 - 15 Year Olds</b>											
%	1.2	1.2	1.2	1	1.2	1.1	1	1	1.3	2.4	1.6
Total	103,881.20	106,867.10	110,125.80	89,159.80	106,975.30	104,677.90	94,674.40	98,698.80	135,103.30	251,869.50	165,561.60

Source: Statistics South Africa, General Household Survey (GHS), own calculations.

## 11. DROP-OUT AND SURVIVAL RATES

Since most out-of-school children in South Africa are older learners, it is important to consider drop-out and survival rates. The survival rate per grade is the percentage of a cohort of learners enrolled in the first grade in a given school year who are expected to reach a given grade, (UNESCO Institute for Statistics, 2009). In this section we use GHS data to estimate survival rates to each grade, although we have used as the denominator all children in an age-specific cohort, rather than all children who enter grade 1. In other words, this includes the small percentage of people who report having no schooling. We also construct drop-out rates for each grade, defined as the percentage of all people who complete a particular grade who then complete no further education (assumed to have dropped out after that grade).

Table 6 shows the drop-out rates and survival rates for three different cohorts: those born during 1979-1981 (and surveyed between 2003-2005), those born during 1987-1989 (and surveyed between 2011-2013), and those born during 1995-1997 (and surveyed between 2019-2021). For each cohort, three years of GHS surveys have been pooled together for the sake of increasing the sample size, thus improving the precision of the estimated statistics. These years of birth and years of survey were selected to allow a comparison of three different cohorts of 22-26-year-olds. This age range is convenient because such individuals are old enough to be unlikely to still be completing school, but young enough as to reflect relatively recent trends in school completion.

Consistently across all three cohorts, dropout rates are low in the primary school grades and increase with each grade, peaking after Grade 11. In the most recent cohort of youth surveyed between 2019 and 2021, for example, 22.4% of those who reported having completed Grade 11 did not complete any further education, and are thus assumed to have dropped out of school. The

table also shows that survival rates have been improving for more recent cohorts of youths. Conversely, dropout rates have been reducing over time. The percentage of 22-26-year-olds completing Grade 12 has increased from 41%, for those born between 1979-1981, to 58% for those born between 1995-1997.

**Table 6: Survival and drop-out rates by grade**

2003-2005 (Born 1979-1981)			2011-2013 (Born 1987-1989)		2019-2021 (Born 1995-1997)	
	Survival Rate	Percentage of those who complete this grade, who then drop out	Survival Rate	Percentage of those who complete this grade, who then drop out	Survival Rate	Percentage of those who complete this grade, who then drop out
<b>Total cohort</b>	<b>100%</b>		<b>100%</b>		<b>100%</b>	
<b>No schooling</b>		1.8%		0.7%		0.7%
<b>Grade 1</b>	98.2%	0.3%	99.3%	0.4%	99.3%	0.0%
<b>Grade 2</b>	97.9%	0.5%	98.9%	0.5%	99.3%	0.2%
<b>Grade 3</b>	97.5%	0.9%	98.4%	0.4%	99.1%	0.2%
<b>Grade 4</b>	96.5%	1.5%	98.0%	0.7%	98.9%	0.4%
<b>Grade 5</b>	95.1%	1.9%	97.3%	1.0%	98.4%	0.4%
<b>Grade 6</b>	93.3%	3.2%	96.3%	1.5%	98.0%	1.3%
<b>Grade 7</b>	90.3%	5.5%	94.9%	3.0%	96.7%	1.9%
<b>Grade 8</b>	85.3%	7.9%	92.1%	5.1%	94.9%	3.8%
<b>Grade 9</b>	78.6%	11.2%	87.3%	8.9%	91.3%	6.8%
<b>Grade 10</b>	69.8%	18.2%	79.6%	17.3%	85.1%	12.4%
<b>Grade 11</b>	57.2%	28.1%	65.8%	26.7%	74.6%	22.4%
<b>Grade 12</b>	41.1%		48.2%		57.9%	

*Source: Statistics South Africa, General Household Survey (GHS), own calculations.*

## 12. REASONS FOR NON-ATTENDANCE OR FOR NOT ATTENDING THE NEAREST INSTITUTION

In this section, we try to understand the reasons why children are not attending educational institutions. We also look at the reasons why children are not attending the nearest education institution. Unfortunately, these questions were not included in the shortened questionnaires of 2020 and 2021. Therefore, the information presented in this section is from 2019.

For children who are not attending school, the GHS asks: “What is the main reason why [this child] is not attending any educational institution?” Responses to this question must be interpreted in the light of research showing that the main predictor of dropping out is poor quality early education (Taylor, et al., 2015). The self-reported reasons for not attending school may act as a trigger for dropping out, but those same factors may not trigger drop out for children who are progressing well in terms of learning levels, especially if they are in a good quality school. Furthermore, it should be noted that this question was only asked of learners who stated that they are not currently attending any educational institution, and the severity of each reason should be interpreted as such.

Table 7 shows that reasons “other” than those provided as response options in the questionnaire were the main drivers behind 7-15-year-old learners not attending. After this, disability is the main reason given why children aged 7 to 15 years old were not attending any educational institution. However, this should be read in the context that around 95% of learners with disabilities do attend an educational institution. Encouragingly, no respondents in this age group stated that marriage or lack of transport are reasons for not attending any educational institution. A small minority of the learners stated that they are not attending an educational institution, due to not having been accepted for enrolment. For 25% of 16 to 18-year-olds not attending educational institutions in 2019, the main reason was that of a lack of money for fees. For a further 9%, the reason was that they have completed their education or are satisfied with their level of education. As a society, however, it is concerning that among both 7 to 15-year-olds and 16 to 18-year-olds there was a small proportion of out of school learners who stated that the reason for not attending is because they regard education as being of no value to them.



The GHS also asks whether school-going children are attending the nearest institution, and if not, why not.<sup>11</sup> Figure 17 shows that there are more learners at the secondary level (19%) than at the primary level (14%) who do not attend the nearest educational institution. The Western Cape and Gauteng have the highest proportion of learners who are not attending the nearest institution at both the primary and secondary levels. Various factors may play a role in this trend, but most likely the density of schools, as well as the prevalence of better-performing schools in these provinces means that parents have the option of sending their children to an institution other than the closest institution. Table 8 shows that the main reason why those enrolled at the primary and secondary level are not attending the nearest institutions is that they believe that their current institutions are better than the closest institutions. Some individuals indicated that the quality of teaching is poor or that their preferred course or subjects are not offered at the nearest institution. This evidence points to the fact that in areas where the option for better quality education is available, parents are choosing to send their children to obtain a higher quality of education. A small percentage of learners who are not attending the nearest institution indicated that they were not accepted for enrolment at the nearest institution.


**Table 7: Reasons for non-attendance of educational institutions, 2019**

	7 - 15 years old	16 - 18 years old
Other	15.0	11.0
Disability	14.3	3.6
No money for fees	13.4	24.7
Too old/young	11.2	0.5
Unable to perform at school	7.4	8.7
Satisfied with my level of education	6.8	9.3
Not accepted for enrolment	6.6	7.2
Education is useless or not interesting	6.3	8.6
Illness	5.2	3.9
He or she is working at home or business/job	3.8	4.4
School/education institution is too far	2.9	0.6
Do not have time/too busy	2.1	0.6
Pregnancy	1.8	4.5
Failed exams	1.8	4.5
Family commitment (child minding)	1.2	6.1
Violence at school	0.3	0.6
Difficulties to get to school (transport)		1.2
Got married		0.2
<b>Total</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>

**Source:** Statistics South Africa, General Household Survey (GHS), own calculations. **Note:** Calculation based on the population of 7 to 15-year-olds and 16 to 18-year-olds.

<sup>11</sup> All disaggregated results in this section are reported in table 32 in the Appendix.

**Figure 17: Proportion of learners not attending nearest institutions, 2019**



**Source:** Statistics South Africa, General Household Survey (GHS), own calculations. **Note:** Primary refers to Grade R to Grade 7 while Secondary refers to Grade 8 to Grade 12. 95% confidence intervals shown.

**Table 8: Reasons for not attending the nearest institution, 2019**

	Primary	Secondary
Current institution better than closest	28.7	28.8
The quality of teaching is poor	20.0	17.5
Preferred courses/subject not offered	14.4	16.9
Other	11.0	10.1
Not accepted for enrolment	9.0	10.5
Lack of resources/equipment	7.7	5.9
Overcrowded classes	4.9	3.6
Inadequate facilities	1.4	1.2
Lack of discipline	0.9	2.7
Lack of safety	0.7	1.1
No/too few extra-mural activities	0.7	0.6
Weak management	0.5	0.8
Lack of services	0.2	0.3
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>100.0</b>

**Source:** Statistics South Africa, General Household Survey (GHS), own calculations.

**Note:** Primary refers to Grade R to Grade 7 while Secondary refers to Grade 8 to Grade 12.

## 13. COMPLAINTS ABOUT EDUCATION

The GHS asked whether any member in the household who was attending an educational institution experienced any problems at the institution over the last six months. The question listed eight options and the respondent was expected to select one or more of the options provided. Unfortunately, these questions were not included in the shortened questionnaires of 2020 and 2021. Therefore, the information presented in this section is only up to 2019.

Across the years there was a decline in complaints about education. More specifically there was a significant decline in complaints about a lack of books and high fees, while poor quality of teaching and the lack of teachers recorded the lowest percentage of individual complaints.

It is, however, to be expected that individuals from different backgrounds will complain about different aspects of education. In 2019, when disaggregating household complaints by province, we find that in the Western Cape the main complaint was about class sizes being too large (although we know from other sources that Western Cape does not necessarily have the largest classes). Gauteng, on the other hand, has the highest proportion of complaints about fees being too high, and in Limpopo and Eastern Cape most of the complaints are about a lack of books (Table 9).

It is interesting to note that a lack of books is raised as a complaint among individuals, regardless of whether parents pay school fees or not, but is more pronounced among learners in secondary schools. Similarly, learners from fee-paying households were also more likely to complain about class sizes being too large, despite class sizes in fee-paying school generally being lower than in non-fee paying schools. Fee-paying parents, however, also complain about the fees being too high, a complaint which was virtually never raised by non-fee paying parents. These trends suggest that the interventions regarding the DBE Workbooks and the introduction of no-fee schools have been addressing the most serious challenges that learners have been experiencing.<sup>12</sup>

**Table 9: Complaints about education by province, 2019**

	Lack of books	Poor quality teaching	Lack of teacher	Facilities in bad conditions	Fees too high	Classes too large	Teachers are often absent	Teachers were involved in a strike
<b>Province</b>								
Western Cape	1.5	1.1	1.2	2.3	4.5	7.1	0.7	0.1
Eastern Cape	7.4	1.2	5.3	2.2	2.5	5.7	0.9	0.2
Northern Cape	4.8	1.3	2.6	2.9	3.1	3.4	1.4	0.3
Free State	9.2	1.6	1.3	7.0	2.5	2.4	1.0	0.4
KwaZulu-Natal	4.8	1.3	1.1	3.4	2.7	4.3	0.7	0.4
North West	5.3	0.6	2.0	4.5	4.0	6.1	1.2	0.1
Gauteng	2.6	1.8	1.2	1.8	6.1	4.7	1.4	0.4
Mpumalanga	8.3	1.4	1.1	3.0	2.9	2.7	0.4	0.7
Limpopo	3.7	0.5	0.4	0.1	0.0	1.0	0.1	0.0
National	4.8	1.3	1.7	2.6	3.3	4.3	0.8	0.3
<b>School Phase</b>								
Primary	3.6	0.9	1.2	2.2	2.7	3.6	0.7	0.3
Secondary	6.9	1.9	2.6	3.3	4.4	5.6	1.1	0.3
<b>Fee-paying status</b>								
No fee	4.7	0.9	1.6	2.5	0.2	3.8	0.6	0.3
Fee paying	5.2	1.9	2.0	2.8	9.5	5.2	1.3	0.4

**Source:** Statistics South Africa, General Household Survey (GHS), own calculations. **Note:** Primary refers to Grade R to Grade 7 while Secondary refers to Grade 8 to Grade 12. The percentage is based on those individuals who are currently attending educational institutions

## 14. LEARNER PREGNANCY

Pregnancy continues to be a significant obstacle preventing girls from attending educational institutions. The GHS collects data on whether a person in the household became pregnant in the previous twelve months. This information helps the Department in measuring the prevalence of learner pregnancy in the schooling system and developing interventions to address it as a barrier to education. However, it is important to note that accurately capturing information on the exact number of school-going learners who are pregnant can be challenging.


The GHS survey is usually filled out by a guardian or parent on behalf of learners who are present in school during the data collection visit, and they might not be aware of a learner being pregnant. Societal norms about teenage pregnancy may also prevent learners from reporting that they are pregnant, resulting in under-reporting of teenage pregnancy. Unfortunately, questions on pregnancy were not included in the shortened questionnaires of 2020 and 2021. Therefore, the information presented in this section is only up to 2019.

<sup>12</sup> All results in this section are reported in table 33 in the Appendix.

For individuals aged 14 or older, the percentage of learners who reported being pregnant has been consistently below 4% since 2010. The number of pregnant learners seems to have remained stable over the past decade, with 2014 and 2016 recording the highest number of pregnancies among female learners. However, it is essential to note that there have been no significant differences in this percentage in the past ten years (Figure 18). As expected, the pregnancy rate is highest in Grades 10 to 12 (Figure 19). In 2019, Mpumalanga and Limpopo had the highest proportion of girls aged 14 and older who reported being pregnant.


Nevertheless, these figures are substantially higher than the pregnancy rates reported in the Annual School Survey reports. It is important to bear in mind that school principals fill out the Annual School Survey, and they are unlikely to be aware of all learners who become pregnant in their schools. The challenges of measuring pregnancy rates, demonstrated by the difference in these data sources, highlight the need to interpret these pregnancy statistics with caution, as there is most likely some under-reporting of the numbers.

**Figure 18: Percentage of female learners age 14 and older who reported being pregnant in the past 12 months, 2010 – 2019**



**Source:** Statistics South Africa, General Household Survey (GHS), own calculations. **Notes:** 95% confidence intervals shown.

**Figure 19: Percentage of female learners per grade who reported being pregnant**



**Source:** Statistics South Africa, General Household Survey (GHS), own calculations. **Notes:** 95% confidence intervals shown.

**Table 10: Number and percentage of learners who reported being pregnant**

	2015		2016		2017		2018		2019	
	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean
Western Cape	261	1.5	241	3.1	225	3.4	207	0.0	194	3.2
Eastern Cape	523	3.9	488	1.5	454	4.6	443	2.6	456	3.0
Northern Cape	148	2.9	138	1.1	142	2.1	161	3.9	128	1.9
Free State	185	1.4	203	2.4	201	2.9	202	2.8	201	2.9
KwaZulu-Natal	700	3.2	611	3.8	613	3.2	650	4.2	620	2.7

	2015		2016		2017		2018		2019	
	<i>N</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Mean</i>
North West	220	5.7	189	2.5	170	1.8	183	1.2	196	3.6
Gauteng	547	2.6	511	3.2	531	1.3	475	2.5	578	2.7
Mpumalanga	339	2.6	320	4.8	341	2.2	319	5.4	305	4.4
Limpopo	557	6.3	547	7.3	505	5.0	463	4.2	466	4.4
<b>National</b>	<b>3 480</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>3 248</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>3 182</b>	<b>3.1</b>	<b>3 103</b>	<b>3.2</b>	<b>3 144</b>	<b>3.2</b>

**Source:** Statistics South Africa, General Household Survey (GHS), own calculations.

**Note:** The numbers in this table are population estimates based on the GHS sample.

## 15. ABSENTEEISM

The GHS collected information on learner absenteeism by asking the household head to indicate whether a school-going person in the household was absent from school in the preceding school calendar week. If the response was positive, the questionnaire asked the respondent to indicate the number of days the learner was absent. The resulting percentage of absent learners represents the proportion of learners currently attending school who reported being absent during the previous school week. Unfortunately, the questions on absenteeism were not included in the shortened questionnaires of 2020 and 2021. Therefore, the information presented in this section is only up to 2019.

In 2010, learner absenteeism was unusually high, which coincided with the year South Africa hosted the FIFA World Cup. It is possible that the tournament contributed to the high rate of absenteeism that year. Data collection may also have been affected during that period. Across the other years, learner absenteeism varied between 5% and 8.5% of school-going learners, with a rate of 5.3% in the week preceding data collection in 2019. Since 2017, Northern Cape and North West provinces recorded the highest percentage of absent learners. Most of the absent learners missed school for one day, while few were absent for three days or more (see Table 11).<sup>13</sup>

Reasons for absenteeism were disaggregated by primary and secondary school attendance (see Table 12). For primary school learners, “other reasons” were cited most frequently, followed by “illness/injury”. Interestingly, exams were the main reason given for secondary school absenteeism.

An alternative way of analyzing absenteeism is to calculate the average daily absenteeism rate. The questionnaire asked respondents to provide the number of days a learner was absent from school during the past school calendar week, which ranged from one to five days. To obtain the average daily absenteeism rate, the number of days learners were absent was multiplied by the percentage of learners who indicated that they were absent for those number of days, and the total was divided by five (the number of days in a week). Figures 20 and 21 below show the average daily absenteeism rate separately for primary and secondary schools. Figures 20 and 21 illustrate the impact of examinations on the average absenteeism rate, including and excluding learners who cited exams as the reason for being absent.

Since 2010, the daily absenteeism rate hovered around 3% of learners, except for 2010, when most learners were absent from school. In 2019, the average daily absenteeism rate was 2.2% in primary schools and 3.5% in secondary schools. When excluding learners who cited exams as the reason for absenteeism, the average daily absenteeism rate dropped significantly to 1.5% in primary schools and 1.9% in secondary schools. The trend shows that examinations have had a significant impact on learner absenteeism in secondary schools since 2014 and primary schools since 2017. It has become common practice for schooling to stop during exam periods, allowing learners to study from home. However, it will be important to monitor the impact of this practice on teacher time in the future.

<sup>13</sup> All results in this section are reported in Table 34 in the Appendix.

**Table 11: Percentage of learners absent from school by the number of days absent, 2010-2019**

	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
<b>0 days</b>	70.9	93.7	91.7	93.7	93.5	93.4	94.5	95.5	95.0	94.7
<b>1 day</b>	3.8	3.6	4.5	3.0	2.9	2.7	2.3	1.7	1.6	2.0
<b>2 days</b>	7.3	1.5	2.0	1.5	1.3	1.5	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2
<b>3 days</b>	2.8	0.4	0.8	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.4	0.5	0.6
<b>4 days</b>	2.0	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
<b>5 days</b>	13.1	0.6	0.7	1.0	1.6	1.6	1.2	0.9	1.3	1.2
<b>Total</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>


*Source: Statistics South Africa, General Household Survey (GHS), own calculations.*

**Table 12: Reasons for absenteeism**

	Primary	Secondary	Total
Other	42.6	27.9	35.8
Writing exams	2.5	40.0	30.6
Illness/injury	24.4	19.4	22.1
Did not want to go to school	4.6	5.1	4.8
The weather was bad	3.2	2.3	2.8
Do not know	1.5	1.8	1.6
Need to take care of someone else at home	0.1	1.4	0.7
Lack of transport/problems with transport	0.6	0.8	0.7
No money for transport	0.2	1.0	0.6
Doing household chores	0.3	0.0	0.1
Does not feel safe at school	0.0	0.3	0.1
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>100.0</b>

*Source: Statistics South Africa, General Household Survey (GHS), own calculations. Note: Primary refers to Grade R to Grade 7 while secondary refers to Grade 8 to Grade 12.*


**Figure 20: Average daily absenteeism rate in primary school, 2010-2019**



*Source: Statistics South Africa, General Household Survey (GHS), own calculations. Note: Primary refers to Grade R to Grade 7 while secondary refers to Grade 8 to Grade 12. 95% confidence intervals shown.*

*Note: The figure shows absenteeism rates both including- and excluding- those citing examinations as a reason for being absent from school.*

**Figure 21: Average daily absenteeism rate in secondary school, 2010-2019**




**Source:** Statistics South Africa, General Household Survey (GHS), own calculations. **Note:** Primary refers to Grade R to Grade 7 while secondary refers to Grade 8 to Grade 12. 95% confidence intervals shown.

**Note:** The figure shows absenteeism rates both including- and excluding- those citing examinations as a reason for being absent from school.

## 16. ORPHANS

An orphan is defined as someone whose mother and father are deceased. According to the GHS, the percentage of learners who are orphans increased steadily between 2002 and 2011 (from about 2% to over 6%). This trend has since been declining and in 2021 it was at around 4% (Figure 22). This is probably a reflection of HIV-related mortality trends over the period. The percentage of learners who are orphans increases from Grade R through to Grade 12, probably as a result of some learners becoming orphans during their school careers. There is no significant difference amongst orphans when disaggregated by gender. Encouragingly, Age-Specific Enrolment Rates (ASER) are not very different between orphans and non-orphans, (figure 23).<sup>14</sup>

**Figure 22: Percentage of children attending schools who are orphans, 2002-2021**




**Source:** Statistics South Africa, General Household Survey (GHS), own calculations. **Notes:** 95% confidence intervals shown.

<sup>14</sup> All results in this section are reported in Table 35 in the Appendix.



**Figure 23: Age-Specific Enrolment (ASER) Status by orphanage status, 2021**



**Source:** Statistics South Africa, General Household Survey (GHS), own calculations. **Notes:** 95% confidence intervals shown.

## 17. SCHOLAR TRANSPORT

Access to education can be a challenge for many learners due to a variety of factors such as long distances to school, safety concerns, and transportation costs. Furthermore, the use of non-roadworthy vehicles for learner transport can lead to tragic accidents. Learner transport implementation and management varies across different provinces in South Africa. To address these issues, the Department of Transport and the DBE recognized the need for a national transport policy to regulate the provision of learner transport (Department of Transport, 2014).

The General Household Survey (GHS) collects data on the mode of transportation and travel time to educational institutions. Unfortunately, questions on learner transport were not included in the shortened questionnaires for 2020 and 2021, so the information presented in this report only covers up to 2019. In 2019, 5.5% of learners used a minibus taxi to travel to school, while approximately 13.5% used a vehicle hired by a group of parents. Only 2% of learners reported using a minibus or bus provided by the school or government (Table 13).

For the purposes of this report, walking for more than 30 minutes is considered a long-distance travelled to attend educational institutions. While most learners reported walking to school, older learners are more likely to walk for over 30 minutes. Most learners aged 7 to 18 years old reported walking to their educational institutions, with less than 15 minutes being the typical duration. In 2019, 7% of 5 and 6-year-olds, 11% of 7 to 15-year-olds, and 16% of 16 to 18-year-olds walked to school for more than 30 minutes. Compared to 2009, the percentage of learners walking for more than 30 minutes decreased for 5 and 6-year-olds (from 11% to 7%) and for 7 to 15-year-olds (from 15% to 11%).

KwaZulu-Natal has the highest percentage of learners who walk for more than 30 minutes to educational institutions, while the Western Cape has the lowest percentage of learners who walk for more than 30 minutes in all age groups. Table 14 shows that the Western Cape, North West, and Mpumalanga had the highest proportion of learners who benefited from government-provided learner transport, whereas KwaZulu-Natal, Eastern Cape, and Limpopo had the lowest proportion of learners accessing government-provided learner transport.<sup>15</sup>

**Table 13: Proportions of 7 to 18-year-olds that use different modes of transport, 2010-2019**

	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Walking	73.6	74.1	71.8	72.3	72.0	69.4	69.4	67.0	67.3	65.0
Vehicle hired by group of parents	5.2	6.6	8.5	7.5	8.4	9.8	9.9	11.6	12.0	13.5
Own car or other private vehicle	8.1	7.5	8.5	8.7	8.2	8.3	8.4	9.0	8.4	9.0
Minibus taxi/sedan taxi/bakkie	6.9	6.1	5.7	5.1	4.9	5.4	5.3	5.0	5.0	5.5
Bus	3.1	3.0	2.5	3.2	3.2	3.4	3.3	3.3	3.4	4.5
Minibus/bus provided by institution /government	2.2	1.8	1.9	1.9	1.8	2.4	2.5	2.8	2.8	2.0

<sup>15</sup> All results in this section are reported in Tables 36 to 38 in the Appendix.

	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Bicycle/motorcycle	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.8	0.7	0.2
Other	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.2
Train	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.1
<b>Total</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>

Source: Statistics South Africa, General Household Survey (GHS), own calculations

**Table 14: Percentage of learners benefitting from Scholar Transport**

	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
<b>Province</b>										
Western Cape	4.8	3.4	3.7	4.6	4.1	4.8	4.9	5.5	4.7	3.8
Eastern Cape	3.3	1.2	1.8	1.5	2.1	3.3	3.6	4.0	3.9	1.2
Northern Cape	4.4	4.0	5.2	4.8	4.0	5.5	3.8	4.9	6.2	2.3
Free State	0.9	0.9	2.3	1.9	0.8	1.2	1.9	1.5	1.6	1.8
KwaZulu-Natal	0.9	0.6	0.9	1.0	0.8	0.8	1.0	1.3	1.7	1.3
North West	1.8	1.5	1.0	1.9	1.0	3.1	1.7	1.9	1.8	3.3
Gauteng	2.0	3.4	1.7	1.6	1.6	2.4	2.6	3.1	3.1	2.2
Mpumalanga	4.1	2.2	4.0	3.1	4.9	3.8	4.3	3.6	4.1	3.2
Limpopo	0.4	0.6	0.8	0.8	0.4	0.9	1.2	1.6	1.4	0.8
<b>National</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<b>2.8</b>	<b>2.8</b>	<b>2.0</b>

Source: Statistics South Africa, General Household Survey (GHS), own calculations

## 18. VIOLENCE AT SCHOOLS


The Republic of South Africa has promulgated acts and policies that protect the dignity and rights of a child. Amongst other legislation, these acts and policies are encapsulated in the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa No 108 of 1996; the South African Schools Act No 84 of 1996; the National Education Policy Act (1996), the Children's Act No 38 of 2005 and the Occupational Health and Safety Act No 85 of 1993. These acts ensure that corporal punishment in South African schools is outlawed. Section 10 of the South African Schools Act of 1996 states that; "(1) no person may administer corporal punishment at a school to a learner; (2) any person who contravenes subsection (1) is guilty of an offence and liable on conviction to a sentence which could be imposed for assault".

The GHS asks whether learners have experienced any form of violence, corporal punishment, or verbal abuse at school during the preceding three months and the kind of violence that was experienced. Using this information, it is evident that Eastern Cape, North West and KwaZulu-Natal are among the provinces that had the highest percentage of learners who reported having had experienced corporal punishment or verbal abuse at school, whereas in recent years Gauteng, Western Cape and Limpopo had the lowest prevalence.<sup>16</sup> There is no significant difference between male and female learners in the prevalence of experiencing corporal punishment or verbal abuse at school. It is encouraging to observe, however, that the overall percentage of individuals experiencing violence has been declining (Figure 24).

Focusing on the kinds of violence that learners experienced, we observe that the most commonly reported type of violence was corporal punishment by a teacher in 2019. This trend is evident in all the provinces (Table 15), but the highest prevalence was in KwaZulu-Natal, North West and Eastern Cape.

<sup>16</sup> All results in this section are reported in Table 39 in the Appendix.

**Figure 24: Percentage of learners who have experienced violence, 2010-2019**



**Source:** Statistics South Africa, General Household Survey (GHS), own calculations. **Notes:** 95% confidence intervals shown.

**Table 15: Types of violence experienced by learners by province, 2019**

	No violence experienced	Corporal punishment by a teacher	Physical Violence by teacher	Verbal abuse by a teacher	Verbal abuse by learners	Physical Violence by learners
Western Cape	94.9	2.7	0.2	0.6	1.8	1.6
Eastern Cape	88.5	10.9	0.2	0.1	0.6	0.5
Northern Cape	93.2	4.0	0.1	0.4	2.1	2.1
Free State	89.1	9.6	0.5	0.6	1.7	0.9
KwaZulu-Natal	86.0	13.5	0.3	0.4	0.6	0.2
North West	87.8	11.1	2.2	1.7	2.0	1.9
Gauteng	95.3	2.0	0.3	0.7	1.9	1.2
Mpumalanga	93.8	4.6	0.2	0.1	1.0	1.1
Limpopo	96.7	3.0	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.3
<b>National</b>	<b>91.5</b>	<b>7.1</b>	<b>0.4</b>	<b>0.5</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>0.9</b>


**Source:** Statistics South Africa, General Household Survey (GHS), DBE own calculation. **Notes:** Respondents could select more than one option of the types of violence experienced.

## 19. SCHOOL FEES

Section 39(1) of the South African Schools Act indicates that school fees may be determined and charged at a public school only if a resolution to do so has been adopted by a majority of parents. However, the introduction and expansion of the no-fee school policy, since 2007, at Quintile 1 to 3 schools has encouraged school attendance for children from poor households and has provided some financial relief to these households, even if children were already attending school. The GHS questioned the respondents if they were benefiting from the no-fee school policy. Below are the findings on school fees in the schooling system.


Across the years the number of learners in public schools who did not pay any amount towards school fees has increased from about 50% in 2009 to about 75% in 2021. The decrease in the percentage of learners paying less than R1000 over the same period, would suggest that many schools that previously charged relatively low fees decided to eliminate fees to benefit from the higher per-learner subsidies available under the no-fee policy. It is also interesting to note that the percentages of learners in the higher fee brackets (R1000-R12,000 and R12,000+) have also been increasing since 2009, and at a rate that is probably faster than one would expect if this was only due to inflation. A similarly sharp increase in the percentage of learners in the independent school sector paying high fees has also been seen in recent years (Figure 26).

**Figure 25: Percentage of learners who paid school fees (Public Schools), 2010-2021**



**Source:** Statistics South Africa, General Household Survey (GHS), DBE own calculation. **Notes:** Only considering learners in public schools.

**Figure 26: Percentage of learners who paid school fees (Private Schools), 2010-2021**




**Source:** Statistics South Africa, General Household Survey (GHS), DBE own calculation. **Notes:** Only considering learners in private schools.

## 20. CHILD SUPPORT GRANT (CSG)

The Child Support Grant (CSG) is a social assistance program provided by the South African government to alleviate poverty among vulnerable and low-income households with children under the age of 18. The grant is intended to improve the living conditions of children, promote their health and nutrition, and increase access to education. It aims to provide financial support to families who are unable to meet the basic needs of their children, including food, clothing, and shelter. Figure 27 shows that attendance rates of children benefiting from a CSG have been slightly lower than for those not receiving a grant. This of course does not reflect a negative impact of the grant, but rather the differing socio-economic contexts between grant beneficiaries and less vulnerable households. It is encouraging that the attendance rates are quite similar.

**Figure 27: Attendance rates of 7-15-year-old recipients and non-recipients of child support grants**




**Source:** Statistics South Africa, General Household Survey (GHS), DBE own calculation.

## 21. NATIONAL SCHOOL NUTRITION PROGRAMME (NSNP)

Many young children living in poverty suffer from food deprivation and are therefore not able to develop to their full potential, or are hungry when at school. The National School Nutrition Programme (NSNP) aims to encourage school attendance and enhance the learning capacity of children while at school by providing a daily nutritious meal at school. The schools that are targeted are Quintile 1 to 3 public primary and secondary schools, as well as identified special schools as per the Conditional Grant Framework.

Since 2010, the percentage of learners benefiting from NSNP has increased from around 73% in 2010 to around 84% in 2021 (Figure 28). The highest proportions of learners benefiting from the NSNP are found in those provinces that are regarded as mostly rural and where the need is no doubt greatest. Limpopo and the Eastern Cape have the highest percentage of learners benefiting from NSNP (around 92%) while Gauteng and the Western Cape has the lowest percentage (respectively 74% and 68%). In 2021, around 80% of learners who receive school meals indicated that they eat the meals provided every day, while around 9% indicated that they never eat the meals provided.<sup>17</sup>


**Figure 28: Percentage of learners benefitting from the NSNP by province, 2010-2021**



**Source:** Statistics South Africa, General Household Survey (GHS), own calculations. **Notes:** 95% confidence intervals shown.

<sup>17</sup> All results in this section are reported in table 40 in the Appendix.

**Figure 29: Frequency of eating the meals provided, 2021**




*Source: Statistics South Africa, General Household Survey (GHS), DBE own calculation.*

## 22. ACCESS TO REMOTE LEARNING

In 2020 and 2021, the GHS asked whether the child's school provided access to a remote or home learning option. It is interesting to note that children in private schools were about four times more likely than those in public schools to have access to a remote learning option. However, even in private schools, remote learning options were not available in most cases. Less than one in ten children in public schools had access to remote learning facilities during the pandemic.

**Figure 30: Percentage of learners in public and private schools with the option of remote schooling**



*Source: Statistics South Africa, General Household Survey (GHS), DBE own calculation*

## 23. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the General Household Survey provides valuable insights into the state of education in South Africa. There have been significant improvements in access to education, with higher attendance rates across age, gender and population groups, as well as increased participation by learners with disabilities. The decrease in reported incidents of violence and complaints from households about education is also encouraging. However, the pandemic has had a significant impact on education, particularly for young children who experienced a substantial drop in attendance. Although there was a partial recovery in 2021, there is a need for continued monitoring and support to mitigate the negative effects of the pandemic on education. Furthermore, the report highlights the need for continued efforts towards achieving equity and inclusivity in education, especially for learners from disadvantaged backgrounds. Policymakers can use this report to identify areas that require further attention and investment to ensure that every learner has access to quality education. Overall, the information contained in the General Household Survey is crucial for informed decision-making and can guide policy interventions aimed at improving education outcomes in South Africa.

## APPENDIX

**Table 16: Percentage of 0 to 3-year-olds attending an Early Learning Programme, 2012-2021**

	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
<b>Province</b>										
Western Cape	32.9	40.7	42.4	42.6	30.8	42.2	46.3	35.7	32.4	42.6
Eastern Cape	26.4	26.5	34.1	27.0	26.7	27.2	28.9	22.9	18.3	20.2
Northern Cape	19.2	28.8	34.6	26.1	28.4	31.8	26.9	21.5	11.7	17.7
Free State	37.4	51.5	54.3	51.7	36.3	42.6	43.8	42.1	39.4	40.8
KwaZulu-Natal	22.7	33.1	40.5	34.2	23.3	22.7	22.7	21.1	20.0	18.6
North West	24.5	28.7	23.5	24.2	23.4	27.8	26.8	22.7	19.5	12.4
Gauteng	36.9	52.1	63.2	60.1	48.0	47.7	51.3	44.6	30.9	37.9
Mpumalanga	20.6	25.9	24.7	29.0	30.5	30.4	32.3	28.1	15.8	16.2
Limpopo	28.6	37.2	35.7	39.1	33.8	32.7	41.0	35.0	28.7	26.2
<b>Population group</b>										
African/Black	27.8	35.8	41.2	39.3	33.0	33.2	36.2	31.1	23.2	25.6
Coloured	24.4	33.3	33.2	34.0	22.8	34.5	31.9	27.1	25.3	22.0
Indian/Asian	23.9	45.5	52.3	35.5	27.5	31.4	46.0	32.9	24.6	29.1
White	47.4	60.4	68.2	57.3	43.5	56.4	60.4	54.2	63.3	57.4
<b>Gender</b>										
Male	28.6	36.9	42.4	38.8	33.7	34.5	36.8	32.7	22.8	28.4
Female	28.3	37.1	41.4	40.3	31.4	33.8	37.1	30.6	26.8	24.9
<b>Total</b>	<b>28.5</b>	<b>37.0</b>	<b>41.9</b>	<b>39.6</b>	<b>32.5</b>	<b>34.1</b>	<b>37.0</b>	<b>31.7</b>	<b>24.8</b>	<b>26.7</b>

**Table 17: Percentage of 4-year-olds attending an Early Learning Programme, 2012-2021**

	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
<b>Province</b>										
Western Cape	64.8	66.9	65.1	69.8	62.4	64.9	73.9	70.3	43.7	70.3
Eastern Cape	76.5	80.4	76.6	70.1	75.0	75.2	72.4	76.1	57.6	55.6
Northern Cape	49.2	63.7	65.8	68.4	75.4	54.2	71.6	58.9	41.7	45.6
Free State	82.7	86.5	87.1	86.5	77.5	79.8	81.9	78.2	76.4	72.8
KwaZulu-Natal	49.3	64.0	66.3	58.4	60.3	54.7	51.2	60.4	43.1	54.7
North West	62.9	66.1	63.8	68.7	66.6	67.2	60.2	73.7	51.1	58.6
Gauteng	81.9	86.3	88.1	83.4	80.3	83.8	82.3	84.2	65.7	69.6
Mpumalanga	61.0	63.5	64.4	63.8	63.8	69.7	69.5	72.0	61.6	62.3
Limpopo	77.2	83.3	75.9	82.0	77.4	81.1	76.0	78.0	67.1	75.0
<b>Population group</b>										
African/Black	69.1	75.5	75.7	73.4	71.9	72.4	69.2	75.8	57.5	64.3
Coloured	49.0	58.2	57.2	53.7	56.2	53.0	65.0	57.4	42.1	49.2
Indian/Asian	52.8	71.6	62.4	58.7	69.7	28.3	81.1	38.6	48.1	100.0
White	90.8	93.4	83.4	83.3	78.5	85.0	90.9	73.9	61.6	86.8
<b>Gender</b>										
Male	69.2	73.3	74.4	72.4	71.0	70.2	69.6	74.7	49.2	60.7
Female	67.5	75.9	74.1	71.5	70.4	71.3	69.9	72.4	63.4	67.4
<b>Total</b>	<b>68.3</b>	<b>74.6</b>	<b>74.2</b>	<b>72.0</b>	<b>70.7</b>	<b>70.8</b>	<b>69.7</b>	<b>73.5</b>	<b>56.0</b>	<b>64.1</b>



**Table 18: Percentage of 5 and 6-year-olds attending an Early Learning Programme, 2012-2021**

	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
<b>Province</b>										
Western Cape	83.6	83.9	81.2	86.3	90.3	83.9	85.2	90.6	59.9	83.8
Eastern Cape	94.9	95.2	95.5	94.1	95.5	96.1	93.1	92.1	90.5	94.7
Northern Cape	81.2	91.0	88.2	85.7	88.4	90.0	87.6	84.1	68.0	76.3
Free State	92.0	91.2	92.9	93.1	93.4	95.9	98.2	94.8	64.2	88.5
KwaZulu-Natal	86.4	88.9	92.2	89.5	88.9	89.5	89.2	92.5	73.9	81.9
North West	93.0	90.2	85.7	91.2	92.3	86.8	91.1	92.0	67.1	89.4
Gauteng	90.5	90.2	90.7	94.0	93.0	94.5	92.1	91.8	72.7	89.2
Mpumalanga	91.5	87.9	92.9	92.7	94.4	91.8	90.2	93.7	91.1	88.3
Limpopo	96.2	97.6	95.6	96.9	96.9	98.6	97.7	97.4	81.6	93.2
<b>Population group</b>										
African/Black	90.9	91.8	92.4	92.8	93.2	93.5	92.7	93.3	79.1	89.0
Coloured	82.5	80.7	80.0	87.7	87.6	83.0	83.4	85.4	56.9	94.7
Indian/Asian	84.2	92.9	86.7	88.6	82.3	84.3	77.8	88.7	33.9	52.6
White	95.7	84.7	90.5	90.9	96.2	93.6	89.6	97.0	40.1	71.9
<b>Gender</b>										
Male	90.4	90.3	91.2	91.2	93.2	92.8	91.2	92.8	74.9	87.1
Female	90.2	90.9	91.3	93.2	92.3	92.0	92.0	92.7	75.2	88.1
<b>Total</b>	<b>90.3</b>	<b>90.6</b>	<b>91.2</b>	<b>92.2</b>	<b>92.7</b>	<b>92.4</b>	<b>91.6</b>	<b>92.7</b>	<b>75.1</b>	<b>87.6</b>

**Table 19: Table 21: Percentage of 7 to 13-year-olds attending an educational institution, 2010-2021**

	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
<b>Province</b>										
Western Cape	99.7	98.7	99.4	99.0	99.6	99.3	99.3	99.0	98.5	98.1
Eastern Cape	99.0	99.0	99.0	99.1	99.3	99.1	99.3	98.7	99.3	98.8
Northern Cape	99.0	99.0	99.4	99.3	99.9	98.6	98.8	98.3	98.3	98.6
Free State	99.3	99.2	99.5	98.7	98.2	98.6	99.0	99.4	99.8	99.3
KwaZulu-Natal	98.7	99.0	99.1	99.0	99.2	98.8	99.4	99.3	98.9	99.2
North West	98.0	98.7	98.9	99.2	98.8	98.2	98.5	98.9	99.5	99.2
Gauteng	99.2	99.1	99.0	99.7	99.2	99.7	99.6	99.6	99.1	98.7
Mpumalanga	99.4	99.4	99.4	99.4	99.2	99.7	99.1	99.5	99.6	99.3
Limpopo	99.2	99.4	99.5	99.5	99.5	99.5	99.7	99.9	99.4	98.6
<b>Population group</b>										
African/Black	99.0	99.0	99.2	99.3	99.2	99.1	99.3	99.3	99.2	99.1
Coloured	99.3	99.0	99.1	98.5	99.5	99.2	99.2	98.6	98.3	97.3
Indian/Asian	99.9	99.3	99.3	99.7	100.0	99.1	100.0	100.0	99.2	96.1
White	99.8	99.0	99.8	99.4	99.8	99.6	99.6	99.7	99.5	97.7
<b>Gender</b>										
Male	99.0	99.0	99.0	99.1	99.1	99.2	99.3	99.3	99.1	98.6
Female	99.1	99.1	99.4	99.4	99.4	99.2	99.4	99.3	99.2	99.1
<b>Total</b>	<b>99.1</b>	<b>99.0</b>	<b>99.2</b>	<b>99.3</b>	<b>99.2</b>	<b>99.2</b>	<b>99.3</b>	<b>99.3</b>	<b>99.2</b>	<b>98.9</b>

**Table 20: Percentage of 7 to 15-year-olds attending an educational institution, 2010-2021**

	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
<b>Province</b>												
Western Cape	99.1	98.1	98.2	98.1	98.7	98.6	98.3	98.2	98.4	97.7	95.5	98.6
Eastern Cape	98.5	98.5	98.4	98.1	98.9	98.6	99.0	98.1	99.0	98.6	97.4	96.9
Northern Cape	98.1	98.6	98.6	98.9	99.3	98.0	98.4	97.9	97.0	97.7	98.7	96.4
Free State	99.0	98.8	99.2	98.4	98.1	97.9	98.5	99.2	99.7	99.2	98.2	99
KwaZulu-Natal	98.3	98.7	98.8	98.6	99.1	98.7	99.0	99.2	98.8	98.8	96.3	98.1
North West	97.7	98.6	98.8	98.3	98.1	97.0	97.5	98.1	99.3	98.7	98.4	97.4
Gauteng	98.9	99.3	99.0	99.5	99.2	99.6	99.1	99.5	98.9	98.4	98.5	98.7
Mpumalanga	99.1	99.0	99.0	99.2	99.2	99.3	98.7	99.0	99.4	99.2	97.9	99.5
Limpopo	99.2	99.2	99.2	99.2	99.4	99.4	99.6	99.9	99.4	98.3	97.8	99.1
<b>Population group</b>												
African/Black	98.6	98.8	98.8	98.9	98.9	98.8	98.9	99.0	99.1	98.8	97.6	98.3
Coloured	98.5	97.9	97.8	96.9	98.8	98.5	97.8	97.7	97.9	96.8	95.9	98.4
Indian/Asian	99.8	99.4	99.1	99.7	99.7	99.3	100.0	100.0	99.3	96.2	100	95.9
White	99.6	99.2	99.8	99.0	99.8	99.5	99.3	99.6	99.2	97.7	95.1	99.3
<b>Gender</b>												
Male	98.6	98.7	98.5	98.6	98.8	98.8	98.8	98.9	98.9	98.3	97.5	98.4
Female	98.7	98.9	99.1	98.9	99.1	98.8	98.9	99.1	99.1	98.8	97.4	98.2
<b>Total</b>	<b>98.7</b>	<b>98.8</b>	<b>98.8</b>	<b>98.8</b>	<b>99.0</b>	<b>98.8</b>	<b>98.8</b>	<b>99.0</b>	<b>99.0</b>	<b>98.6</b>	<b>97.4</b>	<b>98.3</b>

**Table 21: Percentage of 14 to 18-year-olds attending an educational institution, 2010-2021**

	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2021
<b>Province</b>												
Western Cape	83.4	84.9	86.3	85.0	86.2	82.1	82.2	83.6	86.3	88.2	90.7	85.6
Eastern Cape	87.7	88.4	89.5	88.6	88.1	88.5	88.7	89.2	91.3	90.4	92.2	92.7
Northern Cape	85.5	85.3	86.2	88.7	84.2	82.8	81.5	83.1	85.8	84.1	89.6	93.9
Free State	89.2	91.0	91.3	90.8	93.6	91.7	90.1	91.6	94.0	93.5	92.1	90.7
KwaZulu-Natal	87.2	90.4	90.7	91.5	90.9	89.1	88.5	92.1	91.8	90.9	91.8	89
North West	85.7	90.2	88.2	88.4	85.7	88.7	87.4	86.0	86.8	91.8	94.5	88.4
Gauteng	89.9	89.5	90.5	90.1	91.6	90.9	89.2	90.5	91.8	91.1	91.8	92.6
Mpumalanga	90.5	91.3	90.3	89.7	91.7	91.4	91.6	91.6	93.0	90.5	97	93.5
Limpopo	94.9	95.3	95.8	95.8	95.1	95.4	96.1	96.8	94.5	93.7	94	94.4
<b>Population group</b>												
African/Black	89.3	91.1	91.1	91.4	91.2	90.8	89.8	91.3	92.1	91.9	93.4	91.4
Coloured	80.2	80.6	84.2	81.0	81.8	78.5	81.9	80.3	82.9	81.7	88.4	83
Indian/Asian	86.7	87.4	89.1	83.4	85.2	81.2	83.8	96.1	91.2	85.6	89.9	92.4
White	93.0	90.3	91.1	91.0	95.1	93.2	92.2	92.0	89.8	91.0	86.9	97.2
<b>Gender</b>												
Male	89.8	90.6	91.1	91.0	91.1	89.9	89.3	90.8	92.1	91.3	94	92.2
Female	87.7	89.5	89.8	89.6	89.7	89.3	88.9	90.0	90.3	90.6	91.2	89.8
<b>Total</b>	<b>88.7</b>	<b>90.1</b>	<b>90.5</b>	<b>90.3</b>	<b>90.4</b>	<b>89.6</b>	<b>89.1</b>	<b>90.4</b>	<b>91.2</b>	<b>90.9</b>	<b>92.6</b>	<b>91</b>

**Table 22: Percentage of 16 to 18-year-olds attending an educational institution, 2010-2021**

	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
<b>Province</b>												
Western Cape	74.2	76.9	80.4	78.6	80.2	70.6	75.3	77.8	79.5	82.2	86.1	80.7
Eastern Cape	81.7	82.7	85.1	84.4	82.1	82.7	82.8	85.3	86.1	84.5	92.1	89.4
Northern Cape	79.4	77.7	80.6	82.7	76.4	74.2	69.1	74.1	82.1	76.3	81.6	89.5
Free State	83.6	86.4	87.2	86.4	91.1	88.8	85.7	87.1	89.7	89.1	87.2	82.9
KwaZulu-Natal	80.4	85.1	85.3	87.7	86.0	82.9	83.4	88.1	87.9	85.7	87.5	83.1
North West	78.5	84.9	81.9	84.5	79.5	86.3	83.9	79.9	79.6	88.5	93	84.5
Gauteng	85.1	82.4	85.7	85.0	87.1	85.4	84.6	85.4	87.6	87.0	86.2	88.3
Mpumalanga	85.2	86.8	85.4	84.4	86.3	86.5	87.9	88.0	88.9	84.4	95.9	88
Limpopo	92.1	93.1	94.2	94.5	92.9	92.9	94.3	95.0	91.5	91.1	92.2	90.5
<b>Population group</b>												
African/Black	83.9	86.3	86.8	87.5	86.7	86.1	85.2	87.1	87.9	87.6	89.9	86.3
Coloured	69.9	69.8	77.2	74.3	72.9	66.6	74.7	73.1	75.7	72.6	81.6	76.3
Indian/Asian	76.8	80.2	83.2	76.3	76.5	68.6	75.6	93.9	86.8	81.5	87.5	100
White	89.6	85.4	86.3	88.2	92.7	88.8	89.7	88.2	85.4	86.4	87.6	95.8
<b>Gender</b>												
Male	84.9	85.6	87.2	87.4	86.8	84.7	84.5	86.7	88.1	86.7	91	88
Female	81.0	83.8	84.6	84.9	84.5	83.4	84.1	85.4	85.1	85.6	86.8	84.3
<b>Total</b>	<b>83.0</b>	<b>84.7</b>	<b>85.9</b>	<b>86.1</b>	<b>85.6</b>	<b>84.1</b>	<b>84.3</b>	<b>86.0</b>	<b>86.6</b>	<b>86.2</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>86.1</b>

**Table 23: Percentage of 19 to 23-year-olds attending an educational institution, 2010-2021**

	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
<b>Province</b>												
Western Cape	24.4	23.1	22.9	22.4	21.5	18.2	21.0	19.3	19.9	23.6	23.6	26.3
Eastern Cape	34.7	37.6	37.2	36.2	33.0	35.9	39.0	37.4	38.6	33.5	33.5	29.9
Northern Cape	22.3	20.4	20.4	20.6	17.1	25.1	27.6	16.6	20.1	20.2	20.2	30.3
Free State	34.0	38.0	31.4	32.1	33.4	35.1	34.7	33.6	39.7	36.1	36.1	36.4
KwaZulu-Natal	29.3	32.9	32.0	31.9	30.0	32.7	31.8	29.2	33.3	32.4	32.4	34.2
North West	22.7	26.2	26.9	30.0	31.8	27.8	26.9	27.0	31.3	30.4	30.4	49.4
Gauteng	28.0	30.4	34.2	31.0	32.9	33.7	33.4	34.8	31.7	35.0	35	40.4
Mpumalanga	33.2	34.4	33.4	30.6	30.1	32.1	35.3	34.2	30.8	32.7	32.7	34.4
Limpopo	49.0	46.3	45.9	46.2	43.0	48.6	52.2	45.5	46.3	49.0	49	48.7
<b>Population group</b>												
African/Black	32.8	34.4	34.7	34.0	32.4	34.7	35.4	33.5	34.8	34.5	34.5	38.2
Coloured	15.2	15.9	17.6	15.5	14.9	14.0	17.4	15.4	14.8	17.0	17	22.1
Indian/Asian	29.8	32.2	27.6	21.7	29.1	38.2	35.9	35.9	24.3	42.6	42.6	49.2
White	38.7	42.3	40.7	40.1	45.3	36.7	40.5	41.8	37.5	46.6	46.6	34.5
<b>Gender</b>												
Male	33.8	35.0	34.9	33.4	32.5	34.0	35.6	32.4	33.2	34.7	34.7	37
Female	29.4	31.7	32.1	31.7	30.8	32.3	32.7	32.6	32.9	32.7	32.7	36.6
<b>Total</b>	<b>31.6</b>	<b>33.4</b>	<b>33.5</b>	<b>32.6</b>	<b>31.7</b>	<b>33.1</b>	<b>34.2</b>	<b>32.5</b>	<b>33.0</b>	<b>33.7</b>	<b>33.7</b>	<b>36.8</b>

Table 24: Percentage of 16-18-year-olds who have completed Grade 7 or above, 2010-2021

Grade 7	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
<b>Province</b>												
Western Cape	97.6	95.1	97.3	97.1	97.0	96.3	96.6	97.1	97.1	97.6	96.2	97.9
Eastern Cape	82.5	84.9	87.3	88.9	89.5	89.9	87.2	89.4	88.9	91.6	91.4	97.1
Northern Cape	93.3	90.9	93.4	95.0	94.0	87.8	86.8	89.0	92.4	94.3	97	91.1
Free State	94.2	94.3	94.7	94.2	94.3	95.6	92.8	93.9	92.2	95.3	95.7	91.3
KwaZulu-Natal	93.1	94.1	91.5	94.0	95.8	94.8	94.8	96.4	95.4	95.5	96.2	96.3
North West	90.7	93.1	89.4	94.1	91.4	91.8	92.1	90.5	90.3	92.9	96.6	93.9
Gauteng	95.6	97.4	98.3	97.4	96.8	98.3	97.9	98.2	98.0	96.9	96	98.2
Mpumalanga	91.5	89.9	93.2	93.1	91.8	93.8	93.5	94.4	94.8	95.1	97.2	96
Limpopo	93.3	93.6	95.9	95.6	95.7	95.0	96.4	96.7	96.7	95.5	97.6	97
<b>Population group</b>												
African/Black	91.0	92.0	92.4	93.7	94.0	94.2	93.8	94.7	94.5	95.0	95.5	96.4
Coloured	97.2	95.6	97.4	95.4	94.4	94.6	94.1	95.2	95.5	94.6	94.2	96.3
Indian/Asian	97.8	98.1	100.0	96.5	97.8	96.6	98.4	100.0	100.0	100.0	100	100
White	98.2	97.0	98.9	99.2	99.8	98.7	100.0	100.0	98.9	100.0	100	100
<b>Gender</b>												
Male	90.0	90.3	91.5	92.0	92.6	92.2	92.2	93.4	94.0	93.7	93.8	95.6
Female	94.5	95.2	95.3	96.4	96.3	96.9	96.3	97.0	95.8	96.8	97.9	97.6
<b>Total</b>	<b>92.2</b>	<b>92.8</b>	<b>93.4</b>	<b>94.2</b>	<b>94.5</b>	<b>94.6</b>	<b>94.3</b>	<b>95.2</b>	<b>94.9</b>	<b>95.3</b>	<b>95.8</b>	<b>96.6</b>

Table 25: Percentage of 19-21-year-olds who have completed Grade 9 or above, 2010-2021

Grade 9	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
<b>Province</b>												
Western Cape	88.0	88.9	85.1	84.7	88.2	85.9	88.1	89.8	91.9	89.2	94.1	98.7
Eastern Cape	74.6	76.5	75.9	77.3	76.0	77.4	82.1	81.6	82.8	82.3	86.8	89.8
Northern Cape	79.5	80.7	81.6	79.5	78.7	73.4	82.5	76.6	77.0	84.5	86.3	88.6
Free State	87.4	87.0	86.5	81.6	86.6	85.6	87.0	87.0	89.4	91.6	94.9	96.3
KwaZulu-Natal	84.5	85.1	87.8	87.4	89.0	90.0	90.7	92.7	90.6	91.9	92.4	94
North West	80.6	80.2	83.0	80.2	81.0	80.5	83.8	87.0	86.7	86.0	98.2	86.3
Gauteng	93.5	90.7	93.3	94.5	95.7	92.7	93.5	93.9	95.4	95.5	96.1	97.8
Mpumalanga	87.1	85.3	83.5	84.5	84.2	85.0	86.7	88.5	88.4	88.2	94.8	94.2
Limpopo	85.4	82.6	83.4	81.4	78.8	87.8	88.2	90.0	90.6	91.4	93.1	91.8
<b>Population group</b>												
African/Black	84.3	83.5	84.7	84.7	85.8	86.3	88.0	89.0	89.8	90.5	93.3	93.5
Coloured	84.7	86.7	84.6	82.1	82.4	82.3	86.8	87.7	89.0	86.2	89.8	97.4
Indian/Asian	97.8	93.5	94.4	93.4	99.3	100.0	100.0	100.0	96.2	92.4	99.9	93.5
White	99.9	96.1	97.5	95.7	98.2	95.7	96.4	98.6	96.7	98.9	98.7	100
<b>Gender</b>												
Male	83.5	81.7	83.1	81.8	83.1	83.6	86.6	86.9	87.3	87.9	92.7	92.7
Female	87.8	88.1	88.5	89.2	89.7	89.8	90.5	92.4	93.2	93.2	94.2	95.7
<b>Total</b>	<b>85.7</b>	<b>84.8</b>	<b>85.8</b>	<b>85.4</b>	<b>86.5</b>	<b>86.7</b>	<b>88.6</b>	<b>89.6</b>	<b>90.2</b>	<b>90.5</b>	<b>93.5</b>	<b>94.2</b>

**Table 26: Percentage of 22-25-year-olds who have completed Grade 12 or above, 2010-2021**

Grade 12	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
<b>Province</b>												
Western Cape	49.6	49.5	48.5	47.0	47.5	51.3	53.2	50.9	53.2	59.8	66.4	73.5
Eastern Cape	32.7	33.2	25.8	28.2	32.4	32.1	34.2	39.1	37.7	37.5	39.7	46.4
Northern Cape	37.7	38.5	45.2	46.0	42.7	43.1	38.4	48.2	51.4	51.6	56.9	50.8
Free State	47.4	49.1	48.9	45.3	46.4	48.8	46.9	48.5	60.3	47.3	58	58.5
KwaZulu-Natal	45.8	51.7	52.3	53.2	54.1	50.7	51.4	50.1	55.1	53.8	58.4	60.6
North West	40.6	35.9	44.2	45.4	45.8	40.7	42.2	44.5	49.3	55.3	64.1	64.1
Gauteng	58.3	60.6	59.5	61.6	65.0	63.4	62.3	64.0	64.0	65.6	69.2	67.1
Mpumalanga	43.3	44.0	44.2	41.9	46.5	49.4	46.3	47.6	53.5	53.2	54.2	62.7
Limpopo	32.7	33.0	38.4	37.9	40.9	37.9	37.7	36.6	42.0	44.8	52.6	51.4
<b>Population group</b>												
African/Black	41.1	43.2	43.6	44.4	48.5	47.1	47.0	48.8	51.6	51.7	56.7	58.1
Coloured	48.8	43.9	46.7	45.5	45.9	48.9	49.9	45.8	52.5	56.6	67.3	72.5
Indian/Asian	80.3	84.6	74.6	81.8	80.6	78.4	87.8	81.3	81.9	90.4	97.1	82.5
White	85.6	89.1	89.0	86.9	88.0	85.7	83.6	79.2	81.1	89.9	83.5	95.6
<b>Gender</b>												
Male	43.3	42.9	44.5	44.9	47.4	46.0	46.3	47.4	51.3	50.3	57.8	59.4
Female	48.0	51.2	50.3	51.0	54.8	53.7	53.4	54.0	56.3	59.3	61.8	63.3
<b>Total</b>	<b>45.6</b>	<b>47.1</b>	<b>47.4</b>	<b>47.9</b>	<b>51.1</b>	<b>49.9</b>	<b>49.9</b>	<b>50.7</b>	<b>53.8</b>	<b>54.8</b>	59.8	61.3

**Table 27: Percentage of 5-6-year-olds with disabilities attending educational institutions, 2010-2021**

	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
<b>Province</b>												
Western Cape	73.0	83.3	77.0	66.0	74.3	87.0	91.7	80.9	77.6	82.6	41.1	53.2
Eastern Cape	91.4	90.7	91.0	95.2	93.3	95.3	93.7	92.8	83.5	86.2	79.5	90.4
Northern Cape	86.3	88.4	72.7	88.6	85.8	78.4	93.3	85.7	75.5	75.2	58.7	80.3
Free State	88.1	78.3	89.1	80.0	90.7	84.0	94.6	90.3	100.0	89.4	27.3	84.2
KwaZulu-Natal	79.1	80.1	82.0	85.0	90.3	84.3	79.6	79.7	89.3	89.5	64.5	69.1
North West	82.5	88.0	92.9	88.7	81.0	86.7	94.0	85.8	85.3	85.7	64.7	84.4
Gauteng	86.3	78.6	88.9	90.4	81.6	85.4	91.3	93.1	90.6	83.2	58.5	86.9
Mpumalanga	89.5	87.4	89.9	82.6	81.0	70.1	75.7	67.0	65.6	80.1	81.8	65.7
Limpopo	96.5	94.6	96.3	94.8	90.7	94.2	93.9	98.3	98.9	97.6	54.8	93.2
<b>Population group</b>												
African/Black	89.3	87.0	89.1	89.9	88.8	87.5	89.5	87.5	88.8	87.9	66.1	83.8
Coloured	65.0	81.9	71.8	65.3	62.4	95.0	84.0	70.5	79.6	72.6	41.9	76.2
Indian/Asian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
White	79.7	80.9	91.7	74.9	75.0	84.1	100.0	95.3	82.2	100.0	19.6	100
<b>Gender</b>												
Male	87.7	85.9	86.0	87.7	85.9	86.4	91.2	88.0	87.4	86.2	57.7	80.4
Female	87.6	87.3	90.5	88.0	87.4	88.9	87.4	86.0	88.3	87.7	60.8	75.5
<b>Total</b>	<b>87.6</b>	<b>86.6</b>	<b>88.1</b>	<b>87.8</b>	<b>86.7</b>	<b>87.7</b>	<b>89.3</b>	<b>87.0</b>	<b>87.8</b>	<b>86.9</b>	<b>59.1</b>	<b>78.1</b>

**Table 28: Percentage of 7-15-year-olds with disabilities attending educational institutions, 2010-2021**

	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
<b>Province</b>												
Western Cape	98.6	73.1	87.5	95.6	94.7	85.4	88.8	95.2	100.0	93.5	92.7	93.4
Eastern Cape	91.1	86.3	88.9	90.1	95.2	91.0	89.7	83.8	85.7	88.9	88	84.9
Northern Cape	92.9	95.8	98.0	90.7	98.8	83.0	89.2	88.8	83.6	92.2	100	100
Free State	96.8	95.7	94.8	91.1	89.2	85.2	81.8	91.8	95.5	91.6	89.5	84.8
KwaZulu-Natal	89.1	93.7	96.1	91.6	95.3	83.5	89.3	81.6	77.2	89.0	81.4	83.1
North West	95.2	89.0	96.5	97.7	93.9	92.2	92.5	97.2	100.0	98.4	94.7	87.9
Gauteng	92.7	92.9	87.2	96.8	92.8	96.5	95.4	97.8	89.8	96.3	97.1	93.2
Mpumalanga	95.7	96.9	95.5	92.1	92.1	98.0	80.8	92.3	97.3	94.0	92.2	95.1
Limpopo	93.1	95.5	87.7	86.7	93.6	94.6	95.6	99.4	97.7	94.8	93.6	97
<b>Population group</b>												
African/Black	93.0	93.0	92.8	92.4	93.7	91.2	92.1	91.9	91.1	93.6	92	91.2
Coloured	94.8	76.3	86.7	92.6	94.0	84.8	88.8	90.3	92.8	91.1	90.1	100
Indian/Asian	100.0	81.5		86.9	100.0	77.7	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100	100
White	98.1	98.5	92.4	96.6	100.0	89.1	82.8	100.0	97.7	100.0	100	74.3
<b>Gender</b>												
Male	94.8	91.6	91.5	90.7	93.2	91.4	89.7	93.4	90.3	93.8	90.9	90.1
Female	91.2	92.9	93.5	94.6	94.5	90.0	93.4	91.1	93.5	93.3	93.3	92.5
<b>Total</b>	<b>93.2</b>	<b>92.1</b>	<b>92.4</b>	<b>92.5</b>	<b>93.8</b>	<b>90.7</b>	<b>91.5</b>	<b>92.3</b>	<b>91.8</b>	<b>93.6</b>	92	91.2

**Table 29: Percentage of 16-18-year-olds with disabilities attending educational institutions, 2010-2021**

	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
<b>Province</b>												
Western Cape	79.1	100.0	82.4	87.6	66.1	67.6	44.1	72.8	24.1	60.9		68.7
Eastern Cape	71.0	83.0	40.2	34.5	16.9	67.7	52.0	64.1	34.8	41.7	38.2	100
Northern Cape	57.8	100.0	73.8	49.2	100.0	72.5	39.2	0.0	53.6	54.8	60.7	42.6
Free State	65.2	79.4	89.5	88.8	81.9	100.0	72.1	100.0	89.6	100.0	100	100
KwaZulu-Natal	58.6	58.0	69.3	74.2	62.2	75.6	76.6	75.5	72.0	30.0	69.6	24
North West	77.2	46.2	50.4	78.0	72.0	15.5	63.4	40.0	60.4	100.0	100	100
Gauteng	71.3	90.1	74.4	92.1	90.0	78.1	90.1	63.8	100.0	89.6	75.1	100
Mpumalanga	80.7	96.1	85.7	58.5	34.8	46.1	62.2	65.8	100.0	72.0		56.9
Limpopo	61.0	83.4	87.5	43.9	18.8	68.3	62.6	61.9	87.4	81.0	100	65.5
<b>Population group</b>												
African/Black	71.3	77.6	62.4	67.1	53.6	67.6	68.8	62.7	75.8	60.2	70.8	71.8
Coloured	77.2	100.0	76.2	82.6	37.3	100.0	47.3	66.5	30.5	60.0	100	23.6
Indian/Asian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
White	0.0	87.3	80.4	94.1	100.0	71.6	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		
<b>Gender</b>												
Male	69.1	74.1	71.0	73.6	51.9	80.2	78.2	58.5	73.0	67.8	66.1	67.8
Female	67.4	85.8	63.1	65.5	58.0	59.7	53.4	71.5	69.8	54.7	77.6	69.9
<b>Total</b>	<b>68.3</b>	<b>80.7</b>	<b>66.7</b>	<b>70.3</b>	<b>54.4</b>	<b>68.6</b>	<b>66.5</b>	<b>64.3</b>	<b>71.5</b>	<b>61.0</b>	71.8	68.8

**Table 30: Percentage of 7-15-year-olds who are not attending educational institutions, 2011-2021**

	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
<b>Province</b>											
Western Cape	1.9	1.8	1.9	1.3	1.4	1.7	1.8	1.6	2.2	4.5	1.4
Eastern Cape	1.5	1.6	1.8	1.1	1.4	1	1.9	1	1.4	2.6	3.1
Northern Cape	1.4	1.4	1.1	0.7	2	1.6	2.1	3	2.3	1.3	3.6
Free State	1.1	0.8	1.5	1.7	2.1	1.5	0.8	0.3	0.7	1.8	1
KwaZulu-Natal	1.3	1.2	1.3	0.9	1.3	1	0.8	1.2	1.1	3.4	1.4
North West	1.4	1	1.7	1.9	3	2.4	1.9	0.7	1.3	1.6	2.6
Gauteng	0.6	1	0.5	0.8	0.4	0.8	0.4	1.1	1.4	1.4	1.3
Mpumalanga	1	1	0.8	0.7	0.7	1.3	0.9	0.6	0.8	1.9	0.5
Limpopo	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.6	0.6	0.4	0.1	0.6	1.6	2.1	0.9
<b>Population group</b>											
African/Black	1.1	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.1	0.9	0.9	1.1	2.2	1.6
Coloured	2	2.1	3	1.2	1.5	2.2	2.3	2.1	3.1	4.1	1.6
Indian/Asian	0.6	0.9	0.3	0	0.7	0	0	0.7	3.4	0	0
White	0.3	0.2	0.8	0.2	0.5	0.7	0.4	0.8	1.8	4.9	0.7
<b>Gender</b>											
Male	1.2	1.4	1.4	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.6	2.4	1.5
Female	1.1	0.9	1	0.9	1.2	1.1	0.9	0.9	1.1	2.5	1.7
<b>Total</b>	1.2	1.2	1.2	1	1.2	1.1	1	1	1.3	2.4	1.6

**Table 31: Percentage of 16-18-year-olds who are not attending educational institutions, 2011-2021**

	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
<b>Province</b>											
Western Cape	20.4	17.5	17.5	16.7	25	20.2	18	15.8	15.4	9.9	11.1
Eastern Cape	15.5	13.6	14	15.7	14.9	17	12.7	12.4	11.5	6.8	7
Northern Cape	20.7	17.5	15.1	19.4	23.5	28.2	23	14.8	20.8	15.6	10.2
Free State	11.9	11.4	13	7.5	8.6	12.8	9.3	8.3	10.8	6.1	11
KwaZulu-Natal	12.1	11.8	8.5	9.2	11.8	10.3	8.7	7.2	9.7	8.2	10.7
North West	13.4	17	14.1	17.3	12.7	14.4	18	18.8	11.1	3.9	10.5
Gauteng	12.1	9.2	10.1	8.3	11.2	8.4	6.6	6.8	8	7.4	7.9
Mpumalanga	9.8	12.1	12.8	11.5	10.6	9.6	7.9	9	9.7	3	6.9
Limpopo	5.3	5.2	4.4	6.2	5.8	3.9	3.8	6.1	5.9	6.4	6.7
<b>Population group</b>											
African/Black	11.3	11.3	10.2	10.3	11.3	11.2	9.2	8.9	9.2	7.1	9.3
Coloured	27.2	20.7	22.2	24.8	28.9	22.8	22.6	18.9	23.5	12.9	13.1
Indian/Asian	7.6	9.7	10.2	8.3	18.4	6.7	3.1	5.5	9	0	0
White	9.3	3.8	6.6	1.8	6.4	4.8	4.1	9.1	3.2	1.7	0
<b>Gender</b>											
Male	12.3	10.4	10.3	10.9	12.5	11.8	10.2	9	9.7	6.7	8.8
Female	12.7	13	11.6	11.4	12.9	11.8	9.8	10.6	10.5	7.7	9.1
<b>Total</b>	12.5	11.7	11.0	11.2	12.7	11.8	10.0	9.8	10.1	7.2	8.9

**Table 32: Percentage of learners not attending the nearest institution, 2010-2019**

	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
<b>Province</b>										
Western Cape	24.0	29.0	22.7	22.0	21.1	20.4	22.9	24.5	24.5	22.3
Eastern Cape	12.9	14.9	13.1	11.8	11.5	11.7	10.9	10.8	11.0	15.0
Northern Cape	14.8	18.1	12.7	13.7	11.3	11.5	13.2	14.7	14.6	16.5
Free State	17.8	17.1	16.1	15.5	16.2	17.8	20.2	16.0	15.1	17.4
KwaZulu-Natal	11.2	18.5	11.0	11.7	11.3	11.7	10.8	11.4	12.5	13.5
North West	16.7	21.8	15.3	17.2	15.0	16.2	15.0	16.8	13.8	14.0
Gauteng	22.6	24.2	22.2	19.4	17.5	21.3	21.0	21.7	19.7	20.0
Mpumalanga	14.5	14.5	10.9	11.1	11.4	11.6	12.0	12.3	10.9	13.0
Limpopo	12.6	12.1	11.9	11.1	11.8	11.8	11.3	11.3	12.7	11.9
<b>Population group</b>										
African/Black	15.4	18.2	14.2	14.1	13.6	14.8	14.8	15.0	14.9	15.9
Coloured	19.7	22.7	19.4	16.3	15.6	17.2	17.5	17.8	15.0	16.7
Indian/Asian	11.5	17.3	15.7	12.2	17.3	15.2	11.5	17.0	12.0	16.4
White	19.9	25.0	24.1	20.5	18.2	17.4	17.4	19.4	20.3	16.2
<b>Gender</b>										
Male	15.5	18.1	14.7	14.3	13.8	14.5	15.1	15.0	14.4	15.1
Female	16.5	19.8	15.8	15.0	14.4	15.7	15.0	16.0	15.8	16.8
<b>Total</b>	<b>16.0</b>	<b>18.9</b>	<b>15.2</b>	<b>14.6</b>	<b>14.1</b>	<b>15.1</b>	<b>15.1</b>	<b>15.5</b>	<b>15.1</b>	<b>16.0</b>

**Table 33: Complaints about education service delivery by province, 2010-2019**

	Lack of books	Poor quality teaching	Lack of teachers	Facilities in bad conditions	Fees too high	Classes too large	Teachers are often absent	Teachers were involved in a strike
2010	6.2	2.3	2.1	3.9	5.4	4.8	2.6	24.8
2011	6.1	2.9	2.6	4.2	6.0	4.9	2.8	1.8
2012	6.3	2.1	2.8	3.8	3.7	4.4	2.0	0.8
2013	6.5	1.9	2.1	3.6	4.4	4.1	2.0	1.6
2014	3.6	1.8	2.0	2.9	4.1	3.1	1.6	0.9
2015	4.2	1.7	2.7	3.5	4.2	4.2	1.5	1.1
2016	3.5	1.4	2.2	3.1	4.1	3.9	1.4	1.0
2017	4.0	1.5	2.1	2.6	3.4	3.5	1.3	1.0
2018	2.9	1.2	1.5	2.0	3.3	3.2	1.1	0.7
2019	4.8	1.3	1.7	2.6	3.3	4.3	0.8	0.3



**Table 34: Percentage of learners who indicated they were absent in the past 5 days, 2010-2019**

	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
<b>Province</b>										
Western Cape	18.6	9.5	9.7	7.3	9.9	8.8	7.7	6.7	5.7	4.9
Eastern Cape	26.8	6.2	9.9	6.3	5.9	4.8	6.2	4.7	5.1	4.1
Northern Cape	21.8	7.5	10.1	7.5	7.9	5.3	9.2	8.1	11.1	11.1
Free State	24.4	5.3	8.7	5.4	5.3	4.1	4.3	5.4	4.4	4.6
KwaZulu-Natal	42.0	8.2	10.4	7.8	8.8	10.8	4.7	4.1	4.2	3.5
North West	27.7	8.1	10.0	9.7	7.9	8.0	5.7	6.9	9.5	11.0
Gauteng	22.0	4.6	7.0	4.5	7.4	6.2	6.9	6.2	7.1	6.4
Mpumalanga	25.8	5.7	7.2	5.8	6.0	7.7	6.3	6.3	5.9	5.0
Limpopo	36.5	4.8	4.3	6.5	6.5	5.3	6.3	3.2	2.6	4.3
<b>Population group</b>										
African/Black	32.0	6.2	8.2	6.7	7.4	7.2	5.9	5.2	5.4	5.1
Coloured	17.2	10.0	8.9	6.6	10.2	8.7	10.3	7.5	6.7	7.9
Indian/Asian	32.8	13.1	21.4	7.0	2.4	6.5	3.8	1.2	7.5	2.4
White	14.0	4.4	7.2	4.8	3.9	5.2	3.9	3.6	4.7	4.1
<b>Gender</b>										
Male	30.0	6.6	8.6	7.1	7.7	7.2	5.9	4.9	5.5	5.4
Female	29.7	6.5	8.3	6.0	7.1	7.3	6.3	5.5	5.5	5.1
<b>Total</b>	<b>29.8</b>	<b>6.5</b>	<b>8.5</b>	<b>6.6</b>	<b>7.4</b>	<b>7.2</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>5.2</b>	<b>5.5</b>	<b>5.3</b>

**Table 35: Percentage of learners attending school who are orphans, 2010-2021**

	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
<b>Province</b>												
Western Cape	1.5	1.9	1.8	1.6	1.1	1.4	1.7	0.7	0.6	1.6	1.4	2.4
Eastern Cape	7.8	8.3	8.6	7.2	5.3	5.9	5.6	6.1	4.3	6.1	5.4	6.2
Northern Cape	4.4	4.0	4.7	5.3	4.2	3.6	3.2	3.0	3.3	4.0	3.8	3.4
Free State	9.3	9.7	8.3	9.4	7.2	4.4	5.3	4.9	5.6	4.3	3.2	4.8
KwaZulu-Natal	9.1	9.6	8.7	8.4	6.5	6.3	5.9	5.2	4.5	5.1	4.3	4.9
North West	5.4	6.2	6.4	5.5	5.0	3.8	3.4	3.4	3.4	4.3	5.5	2.7
Gauteng	3.6	3.3	3.7	3.0	3.5	3.0	3.3	3.2	3.3	2.1	5.6	2.6
Mpumalanga	6.6	6.5	5.8	6.4	5.9	5.0	5.1	5.2	4.3	4.0	4.1	3.5
Limpopo	4.1	4.8	4.0	4.3	3.2	4.2	4.4	3.3	3.2	3.4	4.5	4.6
<b>Population group</b>												
African/Black	6.9	7.3	6.9	6.6	5.3	5.1	5.0	4.6	4.1	4.3	4.8	4.4
Coloured	1.6	1.8	1.4	0.7	1.2	1.0	1.3	0.8	0.9	1.6	1.7	1.3
Indian/Asian	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.1	0.6	1.1	0.4	0.8	0.7	1.1	0	0.1
White	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.4	3.8	0.5
<b>Gender</b>												
Male	6.2	6.7	6.2	5.9	4.8	4.5	4.3	3.9	3.5	4.1	4.6	4.2
Female	5.8	6.1	5.8	5.6	4.6	4.5	4.6	4.2	3.8	3.6	4.4	3.8
<b>Total</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>6.4</b>	<b>6.0</b>	<b>5.7</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>4.1</b>	<b>3.6</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>4</b>

**Table 36: Percentage of 5-6-year-olds walking to school for more than 30 minutes a day**

	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
<b>Province</b>										
Western Cape	2.5	0.7	0.8	1.1	1.3	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
Eastern Cape	11.9	9.2	6.4	10.2	11.1	9.2	6.4	5.9	7.4	3.6
Northern Cape	0.9	2.7	6.3	11.0	2.6	0.8	4.9	0.0	1.3	5.0
Free State	6.8	4.6	4.6	3.0	4.8	6.5	7.1	2.9	5.7	3.0
KwaZulu-Natal	18.7	20.1	20.0	19.7	19.0	17.8	14.3	15.1	17.9	11.6
North West	7.6	9.3	9.7	9.6	7.5	9.8	14.3	5.3	0.0	4.7
Gauteng	2.9	1.2	2.0	8.0	3.7	3.6	4.5	2.2	0.8	1.7
Mpumalanga	4.9	7.0	5.2	6.3	2.8	3.9	7.2	4.9	6.1	3.1
Limpopo	10.7	6.8	9.6	4.8	6.2	7.5	6.6	4.6	7.3	6.0
<b>Population group</b>										
African/Black	11.0	9.7	9.7	10.8	9.3	8.9	8.3	6.6	7.6	5.5
Coloured	0.9	1.3	0.2	1.3	1.4	0.0	2.2	0.0	0.0	1.1
Indian/Asian	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
White	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Gender</b>										
Male	8.2	8.8	9.2	8.2	8.9	9.5	8.6	6.5	7.6	5.6
Female	12.4	9.4	8.8	11.8	8.6	7.0	7.0	5.5	6.3	4.6
<b>National</b>	<b>10.3</b>	<b>9.1</b>	<b>9.0</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>8.7</b>	<b>8.2</b>	<b>7.8</b>	<b>6.0</b>	<b>7.0</b>	<b>5.1</b>

**Table 37: Percentage of 7-15-year-olds walking to school for more than 30 minutes a day**

	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
<b>Province</b>										
Western Cape	2.6	3.1	1.8	3.0	1.2	1.0	3.7	1.6	0.7	1.4
Eastern Cape	16.3	13.0	13.1	17.3	13.5	14.2	11.5	11.4	11.2	9.4
Northern Cape	3.9	5.4	9.1	9.8	9.5	9.3	7.0	5.7	4.2	5.9
Free State	10.9	9.8	7.8	9.7	7.8	8.8	8.5	8.0	8.3	8.8
KwaZulu-Natal	26.5	24.6	23.7	24.0	23.3	23.3	21.3	20.9	21.4	19.5
North West	11.6	14.6	15.5	12.0	10.1	11.2	11.1	11.0	10.9	8.1
Gauteng	6.0	5.4	5.4	6.9	3.8	4.5	6.5	4.8	3.6	3.8
Mpumalanga	12.5	7.8	9.5	7.6	7.4	6.3	9.0	9.6	7.8	10.7
Limpopo	14.8	12.3	12.2	9.6	9.9	10.0	9.8	8.6	9.2	7.3
<b>Population group</b>										
African/Black	16.0	14.3	14.3	14.6	12.7	13.2	12.6	11.9	11.5	10.5
Coloured	2.7	2.2	1.4	3.8	1.7	0.9	3.1	2.0	0.7	1.6
Indian/Asian	2.4	0.7	0.0	0.0	2.0	1.1	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
White	4.6	0.0	4.4	0.0	2.1	0.0	1.1	0.0	3.2	13.4
<b>Gender</b>										
Male	14.5	13.1	12.9	12.5	11.4	12.0	12.0	10.8	11.0	9.6
Female	15.0	13.1	13.3	14.5	12.1	12.0	11.3	11.2	10.1	10.1
<b>National</b>	<b>14.8</b>	<b>13.1</b>	<b>13.1</b>	<b>13.5</b>	<b>11.7</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>11.7</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>10.6</b>	<b>9.8</b>

**Table 38: Percentage of 16-18-year-olds walking to school for more than 30 minutes a day**

	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
<b>Province</b>										
Western Cape	4.8	1.5	2.1	6.4	0.8	1.3	9.6	1.4	2.0	5.0
Eastern Cape	21.5	16.5	22.2	26.8	19.8	22.3	16.2	20.2	17.9	18.6
Northern Cape	7.3	8.5	8.2	6.3	10.8	14.6	7.3	9.7	9.9	10.2
Free State	15.0	14.1	13.4	12.1	14.5	11.0	11.7	11.3	10.8	19.5
KwaZulu-Natal	34.7	29.7	30.4	33.1	33.9	33.1	30.5	34.5	33.2	28.8
North West	19.9	24.6	22.3	21.9	12.4	20.8	16.0	16.2	13.1	12.9
Gauteng	7.0	7.3	11.2	9.2	9.9	10.5	9.8	9.0	5.0	7.8
Mpumalanga	13.8	11.4	18.4	14.7	13.9	14.9	14.4	16.2	12.0	12.8
Limpopo	21.5	21.2	19.5	21.1	19.9	21.3	14.5	16.5	13.9	16.4
<b>Population group</b>										
African/Black	21.6	19.1	21.3	22.4	20.6	21.5	18.4	19.4	17.2	17.4
Coloured	3.9	3.0	1.0	6.3	1.4	2.6	7.7	4.7	1.7	7.3
Indian/Asian	5.6	10.2	0.0	3.3	2.1	4.1	3.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
White	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.6	7.5	1.6	0.0	9.1	0.0	0.0
<b>Gender</b>										
Male	19.3	15.9	18.5	20.2	17.8	18.5	17.6	18.9	15.5	16.1
Female	21.1	19.9	20.8	21.9	20.2	21.7	17.1	17.3	16.3	17.4
<b>National</b>	<b>20.1</b>	<b>17.9</b>	<b>19.6</b>	<b>21.0</b>	<b>19.0</b>	<b>20.0</b>	<b>17.4</b>	<b>18.2</b>	<b>15.9</b>	<b>16.7</b>

**Table 39: Percentage of learners who experienced violence, corporal punishment or verbal abuse, 2010-2019**

	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
<b>Province</b>										
Western Cape	7.3	9.9	11.3	6.6	8.5	8.5	6.3	4.6	4.0	5.1
Eastern Cape	24.5	31.1	31.0	25.0	21.7	17.6	18.9	13.1	12.3	11.5
Northern Cape	19.4	19.2	13.7	12.7	14.2	13.1	13.8	11.7	9.4	6.8
Free State	17.1	21.9	19.9	18.7	13.8	15.5	18.1	15.7	13.9	10.9
KwaZulu-Natal	23.0	23.3	22.5	24.1	22.7	21.5	16.7	10.7	7.7	14.0
North West	24.6	19.7	17.3	13.7	12.0	16.2	13.7	9.3	10.5	12.2
Gauteng	8.6	8.0	6.0	5.5	5.1	4.7	4.5	3.1	3.0	4.7
Mpumalanga	7.2	8.7	13.8	12.2	6.6	8.6	6.9	8.0	4.8	6.2
Limpopo	9.5	19.5	16.3	12.4	11.8	12.0	9.8	5.3	5.3	3.3
<b>Population group</b>										
African/Black	17.6	20.0	19.5	17.2	15.1	14.2	12.7	8.9	7.6	9.3
Coloured	8.0	12.6	10.9	7.8	8.8	9.7	7.5	5.5	5.4	4.0
Indian/Asian	8.8	5.9	2.1	4.2	3.6	2.6	3.7	5.1	2.4	1.6
White	2.6	3.2	2.6	2.1	2.6	4.8	3.6	1.9	1.0	3.2
<b>Gender</b>										
Male	15.7	18.3	17.7	15.5	13.5	12.3	11.6	7.8	7.1	8.2
Female	15.7	18.0	17.1	15.2	13.9	14.1	11.8	8.5	6.9	8.8
<b>Total</b>	<b>15.7</b>	<b>18.2</b>	<b>17.4</b>	<b>15.3</b>	<b>13.7</b>	<b>13.2</b>	<b>11.7</b>	<b>8.2</b>	<b>7.0</b>	<b>8.5</b>

**Table 40: Percentage of learners in public schools benefitting from NSNP, 2010-2021**

	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
<b>Province</b>												
Western Cape	69.6	68.0	67.7	70.0	70.3	71.5	72.7	73.3	69.7	73.1	67.9	67.5
Eastern Cape	77.3	86.3	88.1	90.0	90.6	91.6	92.7	93.4	92.5	89.5	89.7	90
Northern Cape	91.2	93.3	91.4	87.6	89.3	92.9	93.3	92.4	90.4	86.5	84	81
Free State	69.6	79.1	82.6	82.1	83.4	86.1	87.4	86.8	87.4	85.4	87.2	87.5
KwaZulu-Natal	68.5	78.2	81.0	81.9	80.6	83.0	84.7	84.9	86.4	80.6	88.8	86
North West	72.7	83.3	86.9	86.6	89.2	88.4	87.5	87.8	87.6	86.6	88.8	90
Gauteng	61.8	63.1	63.6	66.7	73.2	75.3	77.5	75.6	76.7	72.5	78.8	73.6
Mpumalanga	78.6	89.6	90.3	90.9	92.0	92.3	93.1	92.8	93.9	90.5	92.1	92.4
Limpopo	86.9	97.0	96.6	96.7	96.8	96.6	95.6	95.2	94.4	91.3	94.6	92.5
<b>Population group</b>												
African/Black	77.3	85.6	87.7	88.2	89.3	89.9	90.7	90.1	90.0	86.7	90.7	89
Coloured	69.3	70.2	65.4	71.3	68.3	73.0	75.8	74.8	76.8	71.7	64.2	61.5
Indian/Asian	15.9	14.6	19.1	18.8	10.6	28.1	20.2	26.1	31.3	27.7	52.1	37.9
White	14.3	15.1	9.8	9.2	13.7	16.8	20.4	16.6	13.7	16.5	17.7	9.8
<b>Gender</b>												
Male	73.8	81.0	82.0	83.2	84.0	85.9	86.3	86.3	86.0	82.8	86.3	83.9
Female	73.1	80.2	81.8	82.5	84.0	84.8	86.2	85.6	85.9	82.6	85.4	83.9
<b>Total</b>	<b>73.4</b>	<b>80.6</b>	<b>81.9</b>	<b>82.8</b>	<b>84.0</b>	<b>85.4</b>	<b>86.3</b>	<b>86.0</b>	<b>85.9</b>	<b>82.7</b>	<b>85.9</b>	<b>83.9</b>

**Table 41: Average learners in public and private schools with the option of remote schooling**

	2020	2021
<b>Province</b>		
1. Western	18.2	13.3
2. Eastern	9.8	2.6
3. Northern Cape	14.1	10.6
4. Free State	5.3	11.0
5. KwaZulu Natal	12.5	13.7
6. North West	7.1	5.5
7. Gauteng	11.9	12.6
8. Mpumalanga	4.7	7.5
9. Limpopo	5.4	8.5
<b>Population group</b>		
1. African	8.1	8.1
2. Coloured	19.3	19.3
3. Indian	24.8	24.8
4. White	37.2	37.2
<b>Gender</b>		
Male	10.3	9.7
Female	10.2	10.6
<b>Total</b>	<b>10.2</b>	<b>10.1</b>

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

Community Agency for Social Enquiry & Joint Education Trust, 2007. *Learner Absenteeism in the South African Schooling System*, Johannesburg: Community Agency for Social Enquiry.

Community Agency for Social Enquiry & Joint Education Trust, 2007. *Learner Absenteeism in the South African Schooling Sytem*, s.l.: JET Education Service.

DBE and DOH, 2012. *Integrated School Health Policy*, Pretoria: Government Printing Works.

DBE, 2016. *Report on progress in the schooling sector against key learner performance and attainment indicators*, Pretoria: Department of Basic Education.

Department of Basic Education, 2015. *The National Integrated Early Childhood Development Policy*, Pretoria: Department of Basic Education .

Department of Education, 2001. *Education White Paper 5 on Early Childhood Education*, Pretoria: Department of Education.

DOE, 1998. *Age Requirements for Admission to an Ordinary Public School*, Pretoria: Department of Education.

DOE, 2001. *Education White Paper 5 on Early Childhood Education*, Pretoria: Department of Education.

DoE, 2001. *Education White Paper 6 On Special Needs Education: Building an inclusive Education and Training*, Pretoria: Department of Education.

Gustafsson, M., 2017. *An update on improvements in schooling outcomes in South Africa*, Pretoria: Department of Basic Education (Internal Report).

Gustafsson, M., 2020. A revised PIRLS 2011 to 2016 trend for South Africa and the importance of analysing the underlying microdata.. *Stellenbosch Economic Working Papers: WP02/2020*.

Hoogeveen, J. & Özler, B., 2006. Poverty and inequality in post-Apartheid South Africa. In *Poverty and policy in post-apartheid South Africa*. In: H. Bhorat & R. Kanbur, eds. South Africa: HSRC Press.

Reddy, V. et al., 2020. *TIMS 2019: Highlights of Mathematics and Science Achievement of Grade 9 South African Learners.*, Pretoria: Human Sciences Research Council.

Republic of South Africa, 1996a. *The Constitution of the Republic of South Africa*, Pretoria: Government Printers.

Republic of South Africa, 1996. *The Constitution of the Republic of South Africa*, Pretoria: Government Printers.

Statistics South Africa, 2013. *General Household Survey Database*, Pretoria: Stats SA.

Statistics South Africa, 2020. *General Household Survey 2019, Statistical Release P0318*, Pretoria: Statistics South Africa.

Taylor, S., Van der Berg, S., Reddy, V. & Janse van Rensburg, D., 2015. The evolution of educational inequalities through secondary school: Evidence from a South African panel study. *Development Southern Africa*, 32(4), pp. 425-442.

Taylor, S., Van der Berg, S., Reddy, V. & Janse van Rensburg, D., 2015. The evolution of educational inequalities through secondary school: Evidence from a South African panel study.. *Development Southern Africa*, 32(4), pp. 425-442.

UNESCO Institute for Statistics, 2009. *Education INDicators Technical Guidelines*, Paris: UNESCO.

UNESCO Institute for Statistics, 2009. *Education Indicators: Technical Guidelines*, Paris: UNESCO.

Van der Berg, S. et al., 2012. *The impact of the introduction of Grade R on learning outcomes*, Stellenbosch: Final Report for the Department of Basic Education and the Department of Performance Monitoring and Evaluation in the Presidency. Stellenbosch University.







Department of Basic Education  
222 Struben Street  
Private Bag X895, Pretoria, 0001  
Telephone: 012 357 3000  
Fax: 012 323 0601



facebook	website	twitter
<a href="https://www.facebook.com/BasicEd">www.facebook.com/BasicEd</a>	<a href="http://www.education.gov.za">www.education.gov.za</a>	<a href="https://www.twitter.com/dbe_sa">www.twitter.com/dbe_sa</a>